

CITY OF GRANT
MINUTES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

DATE : September 4, 2012
TIME STARTED : 7:04 p.m.
TIME ENDED : 10:55 p.m.
MEMBERS PRESENT : Councilmember Bohnen, Fogelson, Huber, Potter
and Mayor Carr
MEMBERS ABSENT : None

Staff members present: City Attorney, Nick Vivian; City Engineer, Phil Olson; City Planner, Breanne Rothstein; and City Clerk, Kim Points

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Carr called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

SETTING THE AGENDA

Council Member Huber moved to approve the agenda as presented. Council Member Potter seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA

August 7, 2012, City Council Meeting Minutes	Approved
Bill List, \$57,355.59	Approved
Pearson Bros., Inc., 2012 Seal Coat, \$49,308.48	Approved

Council Member Potter moved to approve the Consent Agenda, as presented. Council Member Huber seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Robert Hill, 450 Emerald Lane, came forward and stated he lives on Long Lake. He distributed information regarding what happens when a lake is mismanaged. The lake is becoming a bog. The City of Grant, Dellwood and Mahtomedi need to address this issue. Property values are going down and he would like this on the next Council agenda.

Mr. Merril Osterrich, 8861 Ideal, came forward and stated he is concerned with the lake and what is happening to it. It used to be a recreational lake and can no longer be used in that way.

1 Ms. Lisa Paddock, 10025 Keswick, came forward and extended an invitation to everyone to the third
2 annual tractor parade hosted by the Grant Restoration Project on Saturday, September 8 from noon to
3 3:00 p.m. She stated the parade starts at the Gasthaus, goes to Town Hall and then back to the
4 Gashaus and is a community event. The GRP encourages the Council to attend and it is a perfect
5 time for a meet and greet as there will be a special tent for the Council. She stated she hopes
6 everyone can join them.

7 Mr. Larry Lanoux, Keswick Avenue, came forward and referred to an article in the St. Paul Pioneer
8 Press regarding water quality, tanks on the school site and critics not being intellectually honest. He
9 referred to a picture of a sign of Mr. Tom Carr that is out at the ball field. He stated there was a lot of
10 dirt that had to be hauled out of state and the Mayor never asked why it was being hauled to other
11 sites. He read a resolution approved by the City Council regarding this issue. The Met Council
12 decided to bring in sewer and water. He asked why all of this had to be approved in one night.
13 He stated it was rubber stamped by a majority of the Council and engineering wasn't even brought in
14 on the plans. The Mayor did not allow public input on this issue and he has documentation that
15 the site is in fact a super fund site.

16 Mr. Bob Zick came forward and raised four issues. He stated residents are saying they fear
17 exercising their rights to get information at City Hall. The Mayor and Council Member Huber need
18 to stop using their positions to slander Mr. Sederstrom and Mr. Lanoux who are running against them.
19 The Mayor and Council Member Huber need to reclude themselves from voting on a political attack
20 on Mr. Sederstrom, Mr. David and Mr. Lanoux, as they should have done with the grazable acres
21 issue. The City Council needs to listen to its citizens.

22 Mr. John Wycoff, City of Maplewood, came forward and stated it is not being intellectually honest to
23 bring up two men and remove them from the premises for providing information from the School
24 District.

25 Mr. Loren Sederstrom, 107th Street, came forward and stated he would like to further clarify issues
26 that were brought up at the last Council meeting. The EPA inventoried all dump sits in the state. He
27 has the documentation from the dump site and the v-wrap program. The site was labeled under the
28 umbrella of a super fund site.

29 Mr. Bob Englehart, Joliet Avenue, came forward and stated the Mahtomedi School District is
30 troubling him. They can't run the City or tell the City who to empower within the City. He
31 suggested the City give them the property so they have the liability. It is ridiculous to have them here
32 as they should go by what that City wants and the CUP.

33 Mr. Mark Wojcik, 110th Street, came forward and stated the Planning Commission has seven people
34 and it is a good credible group. He stated he does not believe the Council always respects the PC.
35 The PC looked at the grading permit process and had watershed districts present. The PC wanted
36 residents to go to the watershed districts first. The concern then was liability with the City. Mr.
37 Lanoux addressed that with the group. That did not make it into the minutes and he believes it should
38 have. The website does have Council minutes posted but not PC minutes. He asked why the PC is
39 being excluded from that.

40 Mr. Bill David, Keswick Avenue, came forward and stated he and the Mayor had a conversation
41 about the tractor parade poster being on the website. The Mayor said it could not be posted due to the
42 advertisement. The White Bear paper has two pictures of the parade in it. He added the City is

1 apparently responsible for bringing sewer and water to the City with the school, thanks to the current
2 Council.

3 Ms. Joyce Welander, 83rd Street, came forward and stated she has been a 4H leader in the County for
4 many many years. The new leaders do not want anything to do with the City of Grant because of the
5 banner problems. 4-H will have a float in the parade but she only got help from a couple of previous
6 members. The current leaders are very upset with the current Council and have no allegiance to
7 Grant at all. She stated the new leaders want her to step down and split the group but she won't let
8 that happen. 4-H will not do the flower beds anymore and that is why you don't see 4-H members
9 around anymore.

10 Mr. Jerry Helander, Jasmine Avenue, came forward and stated he keeps hearing the tractor parade is
11 not political but three founders of the GRP are running for City office and they want the current City
12 Council at the parade. It is nothing a political ploy to get current officials out of office.

13

14 STAFF REPORTS

15

16 **City Engineer, Phil Olson**

17

18 **Justen Trail Neighborhood Overlay Notification Process** – City Engineer Olson provided the
19 background and reviewed the proposed changes discussed at the last Council meeting. He distributed
20 another draft and highlighted the language that was added relating to assessments.

21

22 **Council Member Bohnen moved to send out the Justen Trail Neighborhood Overlay**
23 **Notification letter, as amended. Council Member Fogelson seconded the motion.**

24

25 Council Member Huber asked if there is a system to bring other neighborhoods in on potential
26 projects. City Engineer Olson stated staff can go out and investigate other areas that may coordinate
27 with the original project area.

28

29 Council Member Bohnen stated he would be comfortable taking out the statement relating to the
30 economy of scale and potential for projects costs to be reduced if other neighborhoods are combined.

31

32 The Council agreed to omit that statement.

33

34 **Motion carried unanimously.**

35

36 **Mahtomedi Elementary School Site** - City Engineer Olson stated that as requested, he has reviewed
37 the current and past status of the Bellaire Transfer Station #2 in the City of Grant related to
38 whether or not it was listed as a Superfund site. Information from the EPA and the PCA was
39 reviewed. Based on this review, staff found the following information:

- 40
- The site is not currently listed as a Superfund site.
- 41
- The site was not historically listed as a Superfund site. It was previously placed on the
42 CERCLIS list. The CERCLIS list is a database to track activities under the Superfund
43 program. Being placed on the CERCLIS list does not mean that a site is a Superfund site. Three
44 options exist for sites that are on the CERCLIS list. They can either be determined that a) no

1 further action is needed, b) sampling is needed, or c) that emergency removal of the
2 contamination is needed. The site subsequently entered into the MPCA Volunteer
3 Investigation and Cleanup (VIC) Program and was ultimately removed from the CERCLIS list
4 because of the actions the site underwent through the VIC program.

5 City Engineer Olson confirmed that CERCLIS is a federal program and the entire site was looked at.
6

7 **Staff Report** – City Engineer Olson reviewed the August staff report relating to engineering
8 activities.
9

10 **City Planner, Breanne Rothstein**

11
12 City Planner Rothstein introduced herself and stated she is happy to be in Grant. She requested
13 Council direction regarding City meetings.
14

15 It was the consensus of the Council that the City Planner would attend City meetings as needed or
16 when there is a specific agenda item.
17

18 **Masterman Lake Clear Cut Enforcement Issue** – City Planner Rothstein advised the Masterman
19 Lake Clear Cut issue is being worked and is being resolved.
20

21 City Attorney Vivian advised the City does not have an administrative fine system in place. The
22 Deputy can issue criminal violations or citations. In this case, the issue goes to the Planner to try and
23 resolve the issue. If not resolved, it may come to the City Council for enforcement action.
24

25 **Complaint Protocol and Communications Process** – City Planner Rothstein provided the
26 background on this item noting the most significant change to the proposed policy is the removal of
27 timelines leaving it up to staff's discretion. She stated this is formalization of the current policy.
28

29 **Council Member Potter moved to adopt the Complaint Protocol and Communication Process,**
30 **as presented and direct staff to prepare a resolution. Council Member Huber seconded the**
31 **motion. Motion carried unanimously.**
32

33 **Staff Report** – A report from City Planner Rothstein was provided for August 2012 planning
34 activities to be placed on file.
35

36 **City Attorney, Nick Vivian**

37
38 **Data Practices Policy Revision** – City Attorney Vivian reviewed a draft of a proposed Data
39 Practices Policy. He advised it would be prudent to update and revise the current policy as the City is
40 receiving so many data practices requests. It is also important to refresh the current requirements and
41 note that the City Clerk is the responsible authority for data practices. Some costs may also be
42 recovered. The last policy was adopted in 1996. He reviewed the changes in the proposed policy.
43

44 City Attorney Vivian advised the new policy conforms specifically to the state law that requires
45 someone be designated as the responsible authority and fees can be charged. It refers to the specific
46 state law as it is written today. The goal is for the public to understand how the process works. He

1 noted he is unaware of any escrow requirements that have been tested in Grant. There are larger
2 cities that do charge escrows. The language in the proposed revision gives the City the authority to
3 an escrow and it is also standard practice.

4
5 Council Member Huber requested the Clerk's specific name be removed from the draft.

6
7 **Mayor Carr moved to approve the Data Practices Policy Revision, as amended. Council**
8 **Member Huber seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

9
10 **Ordinance No. 2012-27, Adjustment of Fee Schedule for Grading Permit Revision – City**
11 **Attorney Vivian advised Ordinance No. 2012-27 is authorization to amend the fee schedule relating**
12 **to the grading permit revision.**

13
14 **Council Member Potter moved to approve Ordinance No. 2012-27, as presented. Council**
15 **Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

16
17 **Resolution No. 2012-07, Summary Publication of Ordinance No. 2012-27 – City Attorney Vivian**
18 **advised Resolution No. 2012-07 authorizes a summary publication of Ordinance No. 2012-27.**

19
20 **Council Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2012-07, as presented. Council**
21 **Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

22
23 **Staff Report –** A report from City Attorney Vivian was provided for August 2012 to be placed on
24 file for review.

25
26 **Building Inspector, Jack Kramer –** A report was from Building Inspector Kramer was provided for
27 August 2012 to be placed on file for review.

28
29 **NEW BUSINESS**

30
31 **Resolution No. 2012-08, 2013 Levy Certification, Sharon Schwarze –** City Treasurer Schwarze
32 **advised the Council has had two budget meetings. There is a proposed small increase in the levy.**
33 **The City's levy is about 13% as compared to other cities that are at 30% and 40%. The preliminary**
34 **levy has to be recorded at the County by September 15. A final levy will be approved in December.**
35 **The levy can be decreased but not increased.**

36
37 **Council Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2012-08, as presented. Council**
38 **Member Potter seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

39
40 **Resolution No. 2012-09, 2013 Preliminary Budget, Sharon Schwarze –** City Treasurer Schwarze
41 **advised Resolution No. 2012-09 finalizes the City's preliminary budget.**

42
43 **Council Member Bohnen moved to adopt Resolution No. 2012-09, as presented. Council**
44 **Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

1 **Charter Form of Government, Jack Smith** – Mr. Jack Smith came forward and stated he
 2 appreciated the opportunity to address the Council regarding the Charter Form of Government. He
 3 provided a presentation that outlined the advantages and disadvantages of the Charter Form of
 4 Government. A handout was provided regarding this issue. He stated he believes there may be a
 5 better way to do things and the Home Rule Charter is an option. The features of this form of
 6 government are that citizens write the Charter and citizens are in control. He noted there is no cost
 7 issue with being a Charter City. He explained the process of becoming a Charter City and how the
 8 Charter Commission is appointed.

9
 10 Council Member Potter expressed concern regarding one person, that being a judge, appointing the
 11 initial commission.

12
 13 City Attorney Vivian stated the Charter Form of Government is significantly different from what the
 14 City has now. There are idfferent rules and an different ordinance process. There may be many
 15 current ordinances that would have to be revised, although that would depend on the Charter itself.

16
 17 Mr. Smith added there would not be a vote every month or every year relating to the Charter. A
 18 Charter provides incentive for the Council to do the best they can for the community. Citizens can
 19 put issues on the ballot and don't need the Council to do that. There is a formula for that and it is
 20 done by petition.

21
 22 Mayor Carr called for a five minutes recess at 8:37 p.m.

23
 24 Mayor Carr called the meeting back to order at 8:45 p.m.

25
 26 **Performance Review for Planning Commissionr Larry Lanoux, Loren Sederstrom and Bill**
 27 **David** – City Attorney Vivian provided the background on this issue noting this was scheduled last
 28 month but he had to leave the meeting. This performance review is designed to make sure everyone
 29 is on board relating to the Planning Commission and their authority as City Officials. Each of the
 30 Planning Commissioners did want this discussion to be held in open session.

31
 32 City Attorney Vivian advised the Council did receive a brief memo providing basic information on
 33 concerns occuring in the last several months. He stated he wants to make it clear that the Planning
 34 Commissioners were not directed by the City Council to meet with the League of Minnesota Cities
 35 Officials. He noted there were complaints made about the City Clerk at the League and that is
 36 concerning. In April there was an incident at the City office that included staying there an excess
 37 amount of time and making demands. The Clerk is responsible for the day to day operations of the
 38 City. He made the point that Mr. Sederstrom is not participating in the verbal abuse or harrassment
 39 as Mr. David and Mr. Lanoux have. They are making the duties of the Clerk very difficult to carry
 40 out. The Clerk has conveyed this to him and the Mayor. A meeting was held with the intent to bring
 41 the concerns to the Council and then meet with the individuals privately. Letters have been received
 42 from the School District outlining concerns that the school is being added as an agenda item at
 43 Planning Commission meetings without the School District being notified. In July, the City received
 44 another letter about Mr. Lanoux and Mr. Sederstrom's conduct at a meeting held by the City Engineer
 45 and the disruption at that meeting. There was another incident at a meeting in Fridley where Mr.
 46 Lanoux and Mr. Sederstrom spoke as PC members and that is a concern for the City.

1
2 City Attorney Vivian advised there are three specific concerns: 1) League of Minnesota Cities
3 incident; 2) harassment of the City Clerk; and 3) School District matter that continues to play out.
4 From a legal perspective, government needs to operate and function. The Planning Commission
5 serves at the direction of the City Council. Planning Commission members have to be objective in
6 looking at all application and other matters. Each member is required to look at facts and can't view
7 applications at their own will. There is a line that can be crossed and they always have to be
8 objective. Planning Commissioners are officials of the City and can create liability to the City. The
9 City has to make sure they are functioning in their role. He advised the Council to provide direction
10 to the PC members. The City Clerk is a key component to the Council and Planning Commission. If
11 the Planning Commission cannot function within that framework there is a problem. He stated he
12 would like everyone to be on the same page so there are not future issues. He stated the Council
13 should allow the PC members to address the Council. He noted there are implications to the City
14 when individuals are acting in the capacity of a City official.

15
16 Ms. Diana Longire, Attorney for Mr. Sederstrom, came forward and asked if this type of performance
17 evaluation has ever been done before. She asked if there was a resolution passed to put this on the
18 agenda. As a procedural issue, it would be appropriate to pass a resolution or at least take a vote on
19 it. She stated views this as charges being made against the individuals. She advised Mr. Sederstrom
20 was on the phone most of the time and was outside when the incident took place at the office. She
21 also offered verification of that. She stated it appears as though there has been a "cut and paste" type
22 of approach with her client. There was not a dispute with her client regarding the incident at the
23 League of Minnesota Cities. The LMC does encourage City officials to gather information and Mr.
24 Sederstrom told the League he was a PC member. She referred to the issue with the Mahtomedi
25 School District and said the letter from them is a strong one. Mr. Sederstrom did write a letter back
26 to them and she entered a copy of the letter into the record. She read parts of the letter noting Mr.
27 Sederstrom was invited by the property owner and he was not trespassing. Mr. Sederstrom has
28 indicated he has been on school property twice. She stated she wanted the letter dated August 13
29 entered into the record, phone records would be provided if the Council requests them as well as any
30 documents requested from public records that show Mr. Sederstrom expresses himself as an
31 individual and not as Planning Commissioner.

32
33 Mr. Larry Lanoux came forward and advised he did go to to the LMC to get information on job
34 descriptions and he did not state he was on the Planning Commission. The purpose was that the
35 Planner was writing her own job description and charging the City for that. He stated he did get bids
36 for the siren and did not say he was on the PC. He stated the Clerk got a call from LMC and then
37 made a call to the City Attorney. A lot of dollars are being spent on this sort of thing. He stated he
38 did get an apology letter from the City Attorney and that is proof. He stated he has called the school
39 site a super fund site and he has proof that it is. He has yet to see proof from the School District that
40 he has trespassed on their property. He indicated he has not been on the construction site. He stated
41 the school is running our City. The site does come under the umbrella of a super fund site. He noted
42 he has that number for the site and he did not make it up. If the School District wants to cite him for
43 trespassing then he encourages them to do so. He stated he has objected to the school all along and
44 he would not send his kids there. The Mayor had met with the school for a year secretly to get the
45 school approved. There was not a good public process and the school let seniors out of class to vote.

1 The vote itself was not publicized. He stated he opposes it very much and objected to plans going out
2 to advertise for bids. It was out on their website and it was an illegal bid process.

3
4 City Attorney Vivian stated no one disputes Mr. Lanoux's position on the school. As a member of the
5 Planning Commission, the concern is objectivity when it comes to them. Mr. Lanoux serves on the
6 PC and is very involved in the opposition to the school and that may be a liability to the City.

7
8 Mr. Lanoux stated someone made the decision to bring the Met Council into the City of Grant.
9 Where did the PC review well and sewer? The Mayor and Council Members Huber and Fogelson
10 voted to bring sewer into the City of Grant. He stated he did not bid on the grading plan. The City
11 will be in a lawsuit with the first child gets sick. He stated he is working on legislation to stop
12 schools from being put on dump sites. No testing has been done on the soil there. He stated he has
13 been to the City office to get documents on the school, although he still hasn't seen the spec book.
14 The school is not 600 feet away, it is 350 feet. Where is the fire count for the school? He stated he
15 has never been given that answer. The rough estimate he does have is 975 total enrollment. There
16 are plans to expand and bring the elementary school there. The City called an emergency meeting for
17 the school. The Mayor never wrote a statement calling for an emergency meeting. The meeting was
18 held and no public comment was allowed and the Sheriff threw him out. Grant should annex the site
19 to the City of Mahtomedi. Landmark was hired but they custom their reports to needs of the
20 customer and environmental issues. The MPC do not warrant the site for this type of use. Why
21 didn't the Council have the MPC come to a meeting? He requested a document from the MPC
22 relating to testing. He added that he has been to the City office and brought issues to the Clerk to
23 bring forward to the City Council.

24
25 Mayor Carr stated that PC members are held to a different standard, as is the Council. The MPC has
26 been out to the site for testing. He stated he cares about the City's liability and as a PC member some
27 of these things may be inappropriate. He noted he appreciates Mr. Lanoux's passion and he has a
28 right to his opinion.

29
30 Mr. Lanoux stated he has been doing very well on the PC. A complaint policy was put together and
31 the grading permit has been revised. A parade has been put together. He encouraged the Council to
32 remove him from the PC if they don't agree with that. He added that he will hold the School District
33 accountable because the City is not.

34
35 Mr. Bill David, Keswick Avenue, came forward and stated they were at City Hall asking for help
36 with the tractor parade and the Clerk was very helpful. He stated the Clerk may just be frustrated
37 because she made a mistake and he called her out on that. The City Attorney is frustrated maybe
38 because there are no more staff meetings. He stated he sees the City Attorney as political and
39 fueling the fire. He noted the letter from Peter Coyle does not refer to him but the City Attorney's
40 staff report refers to him. He asked why his name is on the staff report.

41
42 City Attorney Vivian advised an outline of the performance evaluations has been stated for the
43 record.

1 Mr. David stated he does not voice his opinion about the School District very often because he has a
2 vested interest in the plowing contract. He stated he has been slandered and doesn't believe this is
3 how we want the City represented as well as City officials represented in this manner.
4

5 Council Member Huber stated he has reviewed some of the records that he has that supports the
6 concerns. He stated he does not understand why the LMC would make these things up. He read why
7 the City of St. Paul Attorney did not pursue the case. He stated the City is not having a trial this
8 evening but the Council has to look at the facts and make sure the PC is functioning properly. When
9 several incidents occur one loses credibility. Several things that have been said tonight lead to the
10 question of PC members being able to look at applications objectively. The City Clerk had no other
11 choice but to give potential criminal activity to the City Attorney. There may be axgrinding going on
12 here and you can disagree but you are creating a liability and that affects everyone and the City
13 budget. He implored the PC members to use better methods one example would be going to the
14 School District Board with your issues and concerns. If there is something the City can do about the
15 issues then the Council does want to hear about it.
16

17 Mr. Lanoux stated he did and does have an electrical license. The State Inspector indicated he did not
18 and all the Clerk had to do was call. Instead she held onto it for eight days and then brought it to a
19 Council meeting and showed everyone. There is a pattern here and he never got any apology. Part of
20 the problem is City staff.
21

22 Mayor Carr stated that when these things happen it is very difficult for the City Clerk to call you.
23 You have a right to way what you want but you are a Planning Commissioner. Can you have a bit
24 more discretion? The City now has liability issues and they have to be addressed. He noted he hopes
25 the City does not get any more letters from the School District.
26

27 Mayor Carr asked the Council if there was any action they would like to take. No action was taken.
28

29 Mr. Terry Derosier, Chair of the Planning Commission, came forward and stated he would vouch for
30 the three members of the PC. He stated he has found every one of them very beneficial on fact
31 finding. He stated he hopes the City won't vote to remove them and the PC will continue to get
32 better.
33

34 City Attorney Vivian stated that in reference to evaluation performance, Mr. David's name is not
35 referenced in the letter from the School District's Attorney. The reference is a result of a
36 conversation held with Mayor Carr and Council Member Bohnen.
37

38 Mr. Bob Englehart, Joliet, came forward and stated he is happy all of this came to a head from the
39 problems at the City office. He stated he is still waiting for an apology from Council Member Huber
40 relating to him causing problems. All three of the PC members have not brought issues from the
41 school up at PC meetings. It was citizens at those meetings that brought them up. Not everything can
42 be thrown on those three people. You are using your own political agenda.
43

44 Council Member Bohnen stated that if he were as passionate as Mr. Lanoux he would have a hard
45 time voting on any school issue. He asked if Mr. Lanoux is objective enough to be impartial.
46

1 Mr. Lanoux stated that based on the facts, yest he would. However, he would explore the facts. He
2 stated he brought the facts to the Council but they did not investigate. The City did not need to put
3 these kids at risk needlessly.

4
5 Council Member Potter stated that these are process issues and politics of the Council. Everyone
6 needs to work as a family. The question is what can the Council do to work better together. The
7 Council has talked about workshops with the PC and Council to review expectations and be clear
8 about those expectations. The Council needs to take action on those.

9
10 Mr. Gary Baumann, 10036 Joliet, came forward and stated he went to most of the School District
11 meetings. The School District told him he did not know what he was talking about. The School
12 District is bullying the City.

13
14 Council Member Fogelson stated he suggested a joint meeting with the PC and Council at two
15 meetings. He indicated he believes that should be done to go over expectations and talk about a plan
16 to work better together. He suggested a joint meeting be held at the next PC meetings.

17
18 Council Member Potter stated the City has the opportunity to use the new Planner to better define
19 expectations.

20
21 Mr. Mark Wojcik came forward and stated there should always be a point to talking. He stated he
22 thinks Grant is great and he would like to make it better. It seems at times that the Council wants the
23 PC to go away. Until the Council looks at the PC as positive having a meeting is a waste of time.

24
25 Mr. Jack Smith came forward and stated this was not a typical human resource management
26 experience. Typically all individuals are called in and a discussion is held. This was not a
27 discussion.

28
29 Mr. Terry Derosier, Chair of the Planning Commission, came forward and stated it may be beneficial
30 to wait until after the election to schedule a joint work session.

31
32 **OLD BUSINESS**

33
34 **May 21, 2012 Planning Commission Minutes** – Mayor Carr advised Chair Derosier has indicated
35 the minutes will be taken care of at the next Planning Commission meeting.

36
37 **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

38
39 **Public Comment Inquires, Mayor Carr** – Council Member Bohnen requested Long Lake be on the
40 next agenda.

41
42 **City Council Reports** – Council Member Fogelson advised he will get the newsletter to the Clerk
43 and she will send it out to everyone.

44 Council Member Potter advised the Met Council is asking for participation in a new program. There
45 is a meeting in September in Stillwater. He requested the information be posted on the website.

46

1 Council Member Fogelson suggested steps be located in the back of the Town Hall building.

2
3 The Council directed the Clerk to get some steps installed.

4
5 Mr. Gary Baumann came forward and inquired about a discussion relating to Jamaca and Jeffrey
6 Avenue.

7
8 Mayor Carr sated he has had communication relating to that issue and will be going to the meeting.

9
10 **Staff Reports (any updates from Staff)** – City Planner Rothstein advised she would be tracking the
11 progress of the Met Council and will report back. The listening sessions at this point are about
12 regional goals.

13
14 Mayor Carr advised when the meetings get to the point that the City needs to be represented the
15 Council would like the City Planner to attend those meetings.

16
17
18 **COMMUNITY CALENDAR SEPTEMBER 5 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2012:**

19
20 **Planning Commission Meeting, Monday, September 17, 2012, Town Hall, 7:00 p.m.**

21
22 **ADJOURN**

23
24 **There being no further business, Council Member Potter moved to adjourn at 10:52 p.m.**
25 **Council Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

26
27
28 These minutes were considered and approved at the regular Council Meeting October 2, 2012.

29
30
31
32
33 _____
34 Kim Points, City Clerk

35 _____
Tom Carr, Mayor