City of Grant City Council Agenda May 4, 2021

The regular monthly meeting of the Grant City Council will be called to order at 7:00 o'clock p.m. on Tuesday, May 4, 2021, in a teleconference format for the purpose of conducting the business hereafter listed, and all accepted additions thereto.

1. CALL TO ORDER

PUBLIC INPUT

Citizen Comments – Individuals may address the City Council about any item not included on the regular agenda. The Mayor will recognize speakers to come to the podium. Speakers will state their name and address and limit their remarks to two (2) minutes with five (5) speakers maximum. Generally, the City Council will not take any official action on items discussed at this time, but may typically refer the matter to staff for a future report or direct that the matter be scheduled on an upcoming agenda.

(1)	 	
(2)		
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		

- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. APPROVAL OF REGULAR AGENDA
- 4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA
 - A. March 30, 2021 City Council Meeting Minutes
 - B. April 2021 Bill List, \$63,390.65

- C. City of Mahtomedi, 1st Quarter Fire Contract, \$37,499.25
- D. Kline Bros Excavating, Road Maintenance, \$25,270.00
- E. ARC Paving, Potholing, \$20,241.99
- F. Resolution No. 2021-07, Abatement of City Property

5. STAFF AGENDA ITEMS

- A. City Engineer, Brad Reifsteck (no action items)
- B. City Planner, Jennifer Swanson
 - i. Consideration of Interim Use Permit
- B. City Attorney, Dave Snyder (no action items)
- 6. NEW BUSINESS
- 7. <u>UNFINISHED BUSINESS</u>
- 8. **DISCUSSION ITEMS** (no action taken)
 - A. Staff Updates (updates from Staff, no action taken)
 - B. City Council Reports/Future Agenda Items (no action taken)

9. COMMUNITY CALENDAR MAY 5 THROUGH MAY 31, 2021:

Mahtomedi Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, May 13th and May 27th Mahtomedi District Education Center, 7:00 p.m.

Stillwater Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, May 13th, Stillwater City Hall, 7:00 p.m.

City of Grant Annual Clean Up Day, Saturday, May 22nd, Town Hall, 9:00 am to Noon

Washington County Commissioners Meeting, Tuesdays, Government Center, 9:00 a.m.

10. ADJOURNMENT

1 2	•	CITY OF GRANT MINUTES	
3		MINUTES	
4			
5	DATE	: March 30, 2021	
6	TIME STARTED	: 7:01 p.m.	
7	TIME ENDED	: 8:58 p.m.	
8	MEMBERS PRESENT	_	r Carr, Rog, Giefer,
9		Schafer and Ma	
10	MEMBERS ABSENT	: None	
11			
12	Staff members present: City Attorney,	Dave Snyder; City Engir	neer, Brad Reifsteck; City Planner,
13	Jennifer Swanson, City Treasurer, Shan	ron Schwarze; and Admi	nistrator/Clerk, Kim Points
14			
15	CALL TO ORDER		
16			
17	The meeting was called to order at 7:03	1 p.m.	
18			
19	PUBLIC INPUT		
20			
21	No one was present for public input.		
22	DI EDGE OF ALLEGIANCE		
23	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE		
24 25	SETTING THE AGENDA		
25 26	SETTING THE AGENDA		
27	Council Member Rog moved to appr	rove the agenda, as nres	ented. Council Member
28	seconded Schafer seconded the motion		
29			7000
30	CONSENT AGENDA		
31	A TOOL WA		
32	March 2, 2021 City Council Me	eeting Minutes	Approved
33			**
34	March 2021 Bill List, \$50,795.	87	Approved
35			
36	Lawful Gambling Exempt Pern	nit, Make A	
37	Wish Foundation, Loggers Train	l Golf Course	Approved
38			
39	Council Member Rog moved to appr	_	
40	Schafer seconded the motion. Motio	n carried unanimously	with a roll call vote.
41	COLUMN A CONTRACTOR		
42	STAFF AGENDA ITEMS		
43	City Engineer Bred Deifsteel		
44 45	City Engineer, Brad Reifsteck		
45			

Consideration of Kimbro Avenue Paving Bids – City Engineer Reifsteck advised Kimbro Avenue 1 roadway was raised last fall just north of 75th Street to accommodate flooding. Roadway was left with 2 a gravel surface until sometime in the future when flood waters had receded sufficiently enough to 3 4 pave.

5 6

The City is solicitating contractors for proposal for paving Kimbro Avenue.

7

A request for quotes were sent to four paving contractors whom the City has work with previously. 8 9 TA Schifsky and Sons, Inc was the low bidder with a lump sum amount of \$31,640 The result summary is attached for review.

10

11

City staff recommends award to TA Schifsky and Sons, INC in the amount of \$31,640 for 12 bituminous paving work on Kimbro Avenue. 13

14 15

Council Member Rog moved to accept the bid from Schifsky, as presented. Council Member seconded Schafer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously with a roll call vote.

16 17 18

Consideration of KEJ Contract Extension – City Engineer Reifsteck reviewed the following facts:

19 20

1. KEJ Enterprises has provided roadway services for the City of Grant since 2015 at the rate of \$9000 per month.

21 22

2. The Council agreed to a one-year extension of the existing contract last summer with an increase of 3% starting in January 2021. The new three-year agreement includes the 3% increase for a monthly payment of \$9270.

23 24 25

3. The current contract expires on May 5, 2021. If approved, the new contract would be in effect until May 5, 2023.

26 27 28

City Engineer Reifsteck recommended the City Council extend the KEJ Contract for another three years.

29 30 31

The City Council determined language revisions would be made in the contract regarding direction from City Staff to the Road Contractor and Maintenance of City Hall.

32 33 34

Council Member Carr moved to approve the extension of the KEJ Contract, as revised. Council Member Rog seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously with a roll call vote.

35 36 37

City Planner, Jennifer Swanson

- Consideration of Resolution No. 2021-05, Amended CUP, Indian Hills Golf Course City 39
- Planner Swanson advised the applicant and Owner Mike Regan, on behalf of the Indian Hills Golf 40
- 41 Club, is requesting an amendment to their Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to allow for the
- construction of three (3) replacement golf holes to the Indian Hills Golf Course. As stated in the 42
- Applicant's narrative, existing holes 5, 6 and 7 were underwater for the majority of the 2020 playing 43
- season. Due to flooding of Sunnybrook Lake the condition is anticipated to continue to be an issue. 44
- 45 As a result, the Applicant began planning for the relocation of these three holes to adjacent vacant
- property in 2020 with the hope of them being integrated into the 18-hole golf course in 2021. The 46

vacant property is not identified within the existing CUP (Special Use Permit for PUD as described in subsequent sections of this report), and as a result an amendment to the CUP to incorporate the vacant property is needed so that the three (3) new golf holes can become operational in the 2021 playing season.

On March 16, 2021 the Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing and considered the subject application. A few members of the public provided testimony regarding the proposed hole relocation, with most concerns expressed related to the maintenance and management of the abandoned holes 5, 6 and 7. Generally the Applicant stated that no topographic changes will occur in this area, and that the neighbors could maintain the areas contiguous to their lots, if desired.

After discussion, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the proposed Amended Conditional Use Permit to allow for the inclusion of the subject property in the CUP, and to relocate the subject holes as part of the golf course operations.

The following staff report is generally as presented to the Planning Commission.

City Planner Swanson stated inn June 2020 the Applicant applied for, and was granted, a CUP for clearcutting and vegetative removal on the subject parcels to prepare for construction of the new holes. In the summer and fall of 2020 the Applicant completed grading and seeding work per the terms of the granted CUP. The Applicant worked with the Browns Creek Watershed District (BCWD) through the permitting process as required by the CUP. The work completed last year is anticipated to be adequate to allow for the operation of the three new holes in 2021. The intent of relocating the three holes is to ensure that the golf course is a full 18-hole course making it consistent with the original objectives of the original PUD.

The following staff report addresses only the amendment of the CUP that relates to the operations of the golf course as an 18-hole golf course. The Applicant will continue to work with the City and the BCWD on the CUP conditions related to vegetative clearing, grading and landscaping which are not addressed as part of this CUP.

 The Indian Hills Planned Unit Development (PUD) was originally issued a Special Use Permit on December 12, 1975 by Washington County. The existing "Use Permit" identifies conditions for both the construction of the golf course as well as the residential lots contained in the subdivision plat. The process and procedures were different in 1975, and a Special Use Permit was akin to a Conditional Use Permit.

Given that the original Special Use Permit was issued to address all operations withing the PUD, including the golf course Staff is recommending that a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) be issued for the golf course operations to simplify this process. The CUP drafted will not repeal or replace the Special Use Permit and PUD, but instead will incorporate by reference the PUD. This process will allow for a clear description of the golf course operations related to this amendment request, while maintaining the conditions and permit requirements as issued in 1975.

Project Summary:

Applicant & Owner: Mike Regan, Indian	Site Size: 72.52 Acres (gross)
Hills Golf Club	
Zoning & Land Use: A-2	Request: Conditional Use Permit (CUP)
Address: 6667 Keats Ave N	PIDs: 2603021340001, 2603021430001 (to add
	portions of each PID with specific legal
	description)

The Applicant is requesting an Amendment to their existing CUP to include portions of the properties identified as PID 2603021340001 and 2603021430001 so that three (3) recently relocated golf holes can be incorporated into the Indian Hill Golf Club. As noted in the Applicant's narrative (Attachment A) the Indian Hills Golf course is designed as an 18-hole regulation golf course. In Spring 2020 holes 5, 6 and 7 were unplayable due to flooding because of overflow from nearby Sunnybrook Lake. While the flooding was most significant in 2020, the Applicant anticipates that flooding may continue to be an issue. It is not reasonable, or marketable, for an 18-hole golf course to operate with only 15-holes, and as such the Applicant decided to explore alternatives to relocate the affected holes. The Applicant owns the adjacent PIDs which are the subject of this application, and both parcels were vacant. The proposed amendment will 1) incorporate the legal descriptions into the existing CUP; and 2) allow for the relocation of 5, 6 and 7 to the subject parcels for operation and play as part of the 18-holes Indian Hills Golf Club.

City Planner Swanson noted that according to Section 32-152 Amendment Applications, an amended permit "may be administered in a manner similar to that required for a new conditional use permit." The standards identified in Section 32-146 provide guidance for consideration of the proposed request.

There are two separate parcels associated with the subject application, which are described in the following:

Parcel 2603021340001 is located north and adjacent to Parcel 3503021210001. The parcel has been cleared and graded consistent with the CUP granted in 2020. The grading will support the tee box for hole 5, portions of the fairway and putting green for hole 6, and all of hole 7.

Parcel 2603021430001 is located east of Parcel 2603021340001 and has been graded to support the fairway and putting green for hole 5, and the tee box and portions of the fairway for hole 6. The proposed legal description of incorporation on this parcel generally follows the alignment of the proposed golf holes (see Attachment B).

The subject properties are located within the Agricultural Small Scale A2 land use designation which encourages rural residential and agricultural uses. Golf Course uses are supported in the Comprehensive Plan and are deemed consistent with the rural and open space character desired by the City.

The follow site and dimensional standards that are applicable to the subject application are provided for your review and consideration.

The following site and zoning requirements in the A-2 district regulate the site and proposed project:

Dimension	Standard
Lot Size	5 acres
Front Yard Setback	65'
Side Yard Setback	20'
Rear Yard Setback	50'
Wetland Buffers (BCWD Regulations)	100'

Setbacks:

1

2

The proposed golf hole configuration was generally reviewed and approved as part of the vegetative clearing CUP issued in 2020. During that review process it was noted that vegetative clearing was not permitted within the setback area. As shown on Attachment B, PUD Amendment Sketch, the tee box of hole 6 is closest to the east property line and is setback approximately 150-feet. The tee box of hole 7 is setback approximately 50' from the northerly property line of PID 2603021430001. As shown, the proposed hole locations meet the required yard setbacks.

Wetland Areas & Buffers

The Applicant obtained a CUP for vegetative clearing and grading in June of 2020. As part of that review process the Applicant was required to work with BCWD through their permitting process. The subject parcels contain significant wetland areas, and as such the BCWD required specific setback standards. The Applicant obtained the BCWD permit, and the BCWD will continue to manage the conditions of the new construction until the grow-in is complete and any open items are finalized (see Attachment A).

Operations

There are no proposed alterations to the operations of the golf course and associated uses as a result of this application. The three relocated holes will be incorporated into the existing golf course so that it can again function and operate as an 18-hole course.

3

There are no engineering review requirements for the subject application. The Engineer completed a review including conditions for the 2020 Vegetative Clearing and Grading CUP, and the Applicant complied with those standards during site grading activities in 2020. The completion of the site work to make all three holes operational shall be managed through the 2020 CUP.

7 8 9

10

11

5

6

As previously noted, the subject properties are located in the Browns Creek Watershed District. As indicated by the Applicant's narrative they will continue to work with the BCWD on the completion and closeout of the permitting process. No additional review is required regarding this Amended CUP.

12 13 14

15

16

Staff has prepared a draft Amended Conditional Use Permit with conditions for your review and consideration. Since the original conditions noted in the Special Use Permit are incorporated by reference, only new conditions related specifically to the subject Application are provided.

Council Member Rog moved to approve Resolution No. 2021-05, as presented. Council
Member Schafer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously with a roll call vote.

Consideration of Resolution Interim Use Permit – City Planner Swanson advised the implementation of the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Update ("Plan") requires communities to update their Zoning Ordinance (official controls) for consistency with the newly adopted Plan. During the implementation discussion of the Plan process, we discussed ordinance updates, modifications and additions that would help the support the City's vision and goals for the future. Interim Uses, and specifically Interim Use Permits, were identified as a tool that could help the City in processing of its applications for uses that have a specified time frame for termination as opposed to using the Conditional Use Permit process.

The City Council directed staff to begin working on the ordinance update process as meetings and time permits. Staff presented a draft of the Interim Use ordinance at the regular Planning Commission meeting on March 16, 2021. After the Public Hearing and discussion, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the draft ordinance with the inclusion of additional performance standards for Accessory Dwelling Units. Based on their direction, staff prepared additional language regarding ADU's and additional edits to the Principal Building section and the Accessory buildings and other non-dwelling structures section for consistency with the direction of the Planning Commission.

Staff also provided consideration of Horse Boarding and Livestock with respect to density of animal units, and the Planning Commission unanimously concluded that the uses should remain as Conditional Uses within the ordinance. Based on the direction provided, staff has prepared an updated draft ordinance for the review and consideration of the City Council. The following staff memo is generally as presented to the Planning Commission on March 16, 2021.

The Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on March 16, 2021 for consideration of the attached draft ordinance. During the public hearing one member of the public provided testimony in support of the ordinance modification, and indicated they were interested in the inclusion of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) since the City's senior population will only grow in the coming years.

Minnesota State Statute 462.3597 enables cities to implement interim uses provided they are consistent with the statutory language. Staff began with the statute to ensure that the draft language is consistent, and supplemented the statutory guidance with a review of ordinances from cities with similar land uses and development. Additionally, the City Council identified some preliminary objectives for Interim Uses in 2011, which was supplemented in the joint Planning Commission and City Council meeting during the Plan development process. A summary of the objectives for the Interim Use ordinance is as follows:

- To streamline the process and make it easier, and potentially cheaper, for uses that are temporary in nature.
- To create a tool that matches the process with the proposed use more accurately rather than always requiring a CUP for uses not anticipated to go into perpetuity. Examples were discussed such as construction stockpiling or temporary dwelling units for caretakers.

To allow for a permit that is time limited and may be requested by the Applicant. Examples
were discussed such as the haunted corn maze at the local orchard for one or two seasons.

After performing research, staff prepared the draft ordinance which is attached to this memo for your review and consideration. A summary of the DRAFT ordinance is as follows:

• The MN State Statute requires all Interim Uses to be considered at a formal Public Hearing and requires that it be held at either the Planning Commission or the City Council. The draft language provides for a streamlined approach direct to the City Council, or a process consistent with the CUP language which requires the Planning Commission to hold the Public Hearing.

• The language allows for any use listed as a conditional use to be considered as an interim use. The City Council may not dictate that an interim use be applied for versus a conditional use, but the tool is available and may be suggested as an option for users.

• Staff identified seven (7) uses on the Table of Uses that are recommended Interim Uses. Staff encourages the Planning Commission to review the Table of Uses (Sec. 32-245) in its entirety and identify any additional uses that should be considered.

In addition to the proposed DRAFT ordinance, staff also identifies another section of the City Code where interim use permits could be considered. Staff offers the following for discussion:

Sec. 32-313 Accessory buildings and other non-dwelling structures. The City could consider language to allow for an accessory building to be constructed prior to a principal building with an IUP. This section could also be modified to allow for the temporary use of accessory buildings as habitable space (ADU, or similar) with an IUP.

After much discussion, the Council determined they would further review the proposed IUP land uses as well as potential others within the City Code. Further discussion will be held at a future Council meeting.

Council Member Schafer moved to table the Interim Use Permit Ordinance to a future Council meeting. Council Member Carr seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously with a roll call vote.

City Attorney, Dave Snyder (no action items)

NEW BUSINESS

Consideration of Ordinance No. 2021-63, Comcast Franchise Agreement – Mayor Huber provided the background of the agreement and noted the key highlights.

Council Member Giefer moved to approve Ordinance No. 2021-63, as presented. Council Member Schafer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously with a roll call vote.

Consideration of Resolution No. 2021-06, Summary Publication of Ordinance No. 2021-63 – Resolution No. 2021-06 authorizes a summary publication of Ordinance No. 2021-63. Council Member Schafer made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2021-06, as presented. Council Member Giefer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously with a roll call vote. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS** There was no unfinished business. **DISCUSSION ITEMS (no action taken)** Staff Updates (updates from Staff, no action taken) City Council Reports/Future Agenda Items No items were placed on a future agenda. COMMUNITY CALENDAR APRIL 1 THROUGH THROUGH APRIL 30 2021: Mahtomedi Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, April 8th and April 22nd, Mahtomedi District Education Center, 7:00 p.m. Stillwater Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, April 8th, Stillwater City Hall, 7:00 p.m. Washington County Commissioners Meeting, Tuesdays, Government Center, 9:00 a.m. **ADJOURNMENT** Council Member Rog moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:58 p.m. Council Member Schafer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously. These minutes were considered and approved at the regular Council Meeting May 4, 2021. Kim Points, Administrator/Clerk Jeff Huber, Mayor

Fund Name: 100 - General Fund

Date Range: 04/01/2021 To 04/30/2021

<u>Date</u>	Vendor	Check#	Description	Void	Account Name	F-A-O-P		Total
04/26/2021	04/26/2021 Payroll Period Ending 04/30/2021 Total For Check	15194 15194	April21	z	Clerk Salary	100-41101-100-	% 4	4,086.66 4,086.66
04/26/2021	04/26/2021 Washington County Transportation	15196	Snow and Ice Control Inv #187444	z	Snow & Ice Removal	100-43113-210-	₩	1,623.18
	Total For Check	15196					8	1,623.18
04/26/2021	04/26/2021 AirFresh Industries	15197	PortaPot #43873/44265	z	Town Hall Porta Pot	100-43007-210-	ᡐ	250.00
	Total For Check	15197					\$	250.00
04/26/2021	KEJ Enterprises	15198	March21 Road Contractor	z	Animal Control	100-42006-300-	.γ	83.00
		15198			Town Hall Mowing	100-43006-300-	₩	125.00
		15198			Ball Field Maintenance	100-43009-300-	↔	125.00
		15198			Road Engineering Fees	100-43102-300-	Ş	166.14
		15198			Road Garbage Removal	100-43105-300-	\$	167.00
		15198			Gravel Road Costs	100-43106-300-	\$	20.84
		15198			Magnesium Choride	100-43107-300-	⟨\$	41.67
		15198			Road Sign Replacement	100-43110-300-	ς,	83.84
		15198			Culvert Repair	100-43111-300-	\$	20.84
		15198			Snow & Ice Removal	100-43113-300-	-⟨ς	5,686.67
		15198			Road Brushing	100-43114-300-	ጉ ፈላ	2,250.00
		OCTCT			Vogo Side Mowille	100-40110-000-	۲	200.00
	Total For Check	15198					Ś	9,270.00
04/26/2021	KEJ Enterprises	15199	April21 Road Contractor	z	Animal Control	100-42006-300-	↔	83.00
		15199			Town Hall Mowing	100-43006-300-	ب	125.00
		15199			Ball Field Maintenance	100-43009-300-	ς,	125.00
		15199			Road Engineering Fees	100-43102-300-	\$	166.14
		15199			Road Garbage Removal	100-43105-300-	Ş	167.00
		15199			Gravel Road Costs	100-43106-300-	\$	20.84
		15199			Magnesium Choride	100-43107-300-	\$	41.67
		15199			Road Sign Replacement	100-43110-300-	❖	83.84
		15199			Culvert Repair	100-43111-300-	ζ,	20.84
		15199			Snow & Ice Removal	100-43113-300-	\$	5,686.67
		15199			Road Brushing	100-43114-300-	ب	2,250.00
		15199			Road Side Mowing	100-43115-300-	\$	500.00
	Total For Check	15199					\$	9,270.00
04/26/2021 SHC, LLC	SHC, LLC	15200	Planning Services	z	City Planner	100-41209-300-	\$	1,405.00

Report Version: 03/31/2015

Page 1 of 4

Fund Name: 100 - General Fund

Date Range: 04/01/2021 To 04/30/2021

ү	04/26/2021 MN Departn	04/26/2021 CliftonLarsonAllen	04/26/2021 Waste Management T		04/26/2021 Todd Smith	04/26/2021 wasnington county Assessment/Tax		04/26/2021 Graphic Resources	04/26/2021 Press Publications	04/26/2021 Lisa Senopole	04/26/2021 Maroney's	04/26/2021 Asphalt Restoration Co., Inc Total Fo	04/26/2021 Xcel Energy	<u>Date</u> <u>Vendor</u>
Total For Check	MN Department of Labor &	Total For Check	otal For Check	Total For Check		Total For Check	Total For Check	Total For Check	Total For Check	Total For Check	Total For Check	r Check	Total For Check	Total For Check
15213	15213	15212 15212	15211 15211	15210	15210	15209	15208 15208	15207 15207	15206 15206	15204 15204	15203 15203	15202 15202	15201 15201 15201 15201	<u>Check #</u> 15200
Sucial	1st Quarter Building Permit	Inv#2799542	Recycling -	April	Monthly Assessment Services -	#188223	City Phone	Spring Newsletter	Ordinance Summary	Video Tech - April	Dump Fee	Potholing Inv#1/2/3	Utilities	Description
	z	z	z		z	Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	Void
	Building Permit Surcharge	Audit Fees	Recycling		Property Assessor	Assessing	City Office Telephone	City Newsletter	Publishing Costs	Cable Costs	Special Road Projects	Pothole Repairs	Town Hall Electricity Well House Electricity Street Lights	Account Name
	100-42005-210-	100-41201-300-	100-43011-384-		100-41208-300-	-00C-0CCT H-00T	100-41309-321-	100-41307-350-	100-41308-351-	100-41212-100-	100-43128-384-	100-43109-220-	100-43004-381- 100-43010-381- 100-43117-381-	<u>F-A-O-P</u>
\$ 1,376.04	\$ 1,376.04	\$ 6,300.00 \$ 6,300.00	\$ 5,267.60 \$ 5,267.60	\$ 2,173.00	\$ 2,173.00	\$ 387.00	200	\$ 1,379.32 \$ 1,379.32	\$ 59.75 \$ 59.75	\$ 90.00 \$ 90.00	\$ 294.03 \$ 294.03	\$ 20,241.99 \$ 20,241.99	\$ 131.57 \$ 11.37 \$ 44.88 \$ 187.82	Total \$ 1,405.00

Report Version: 03/31/2015 Page 2 of 4

Fund Name: 100 - General Fund

Date Range: 04/01/2021 To 04/30/2021

04/2//2021 Paurand Lisa Siack Total For Check	04/27/2021 Bard Spalling Clark	Total For Check		OT/ C/ COCT NIHE DI OS EXCAVACINE	04/27/2021 Klipp Bros Everyoting	Total For Check			•	04/26/2021 IRS	Total For Check		04/26/2021 PERA	Total For Check	04/26/2021 Sprint	י כימו כי כווכנא	Total For Chack					04/26/2021 WSB & Associates	Total For Check			04/26/2021 Johnson Turner Legal	Total For Check	Records	04/26/2021 Washington County Property	Total For Check	<u>Date</u> <u>Vendor</u> 04/26/2021 City of Mahtomedi	Date halige: 07/01/2021 1007/30/2021
15222	1 5 7 7 7	15221 15221	15221	15221	15221		EFT142	EFT142	EFT142	EFT142	15219	15219	15219	15218	15218	1751/	15217	15217	15217	15217	15217	15217	15216	15216	15216	15216	15215		15215	15214	Check # 15214	
Abated	To Define por County			ויסמל ואומווורכומוורכ	Pool Misters					Payroll Taxes			PERA		City Cell Phone							Engineering - March				March Billing		Kimbro	2021 Property Tax - 8380		Description 1st Quarter Fire Contract	
z	Z			2	2					z			z		z							z				z			z		N Void	
2019/2020 Road Project	2010/2020 Band Brainst	Ditch Repair	Gravel Road Costs	Grader Contractor	Wall Bouse Flortricity		Social Security Expens	Federal Withholding	Clerk Medicare	Clerk FICA/Medicare		Clerk PERA Withholding	Clerk PERA		Road Expenses - Other		-017/ -020 1000 1 10Jccc	2019/2020 Road Project	Orading Pormit	I Itility/POW/Pormits	Special Road Drojects	Engineering Fees - General		Legal Fees - Prosecutions	Legal Fees - Complaints	Legal Fees - General			Town Hall Property Taxes		Account Name Fire - Mahtomedi	
100-43136-810-	100 /2126 010	100-43133-301-	100-43106-220-	100-43101-301-	100-43010-320		100-41109-100-	100-41107-100-	100-41105-100-	100-41103-100-		100-41108-100-	100-41102-120-		100-43116-321-		1000	100-43136-300-	100 43135 300	100-43123-300-	100-43178-300-	100-41203-300-		100-41206-301-	100-41205-301-	100-41204-301-			100-43008-510-		<u>F-A-O-P</u> 100-42002-300-	
(%)	Դ	% %	₩	_ያ	^	\$	÷	❖	❖	s	S	Ş	ş	\$	\$	~	۲	<i>ሉ</i> ፥	ሱ ቲ	ሱ ፈ	<u>ሉ</u> ‹	ᡣ᠊ᡐ	\$	Ş	⊹∽	₩.	\$	1	↔	\$	₩	
865.19	965 10	4,145.00 25,270.00	830.00	7.260.00	12 025 00	1,257.63	340.34	417.75	79.60	419.94	768.51	356.81	411.70	38.98	38.98	6,818.00	1,71,00	1 417 00	52.00	310.50	2,020.00	682.00	3,496.00	1,900.00	866.00	730.00	6,578.00		6,578.00	37,499.25	<u>Total</u> 37,499.25	

Report Version: 03/31/2015 Page 3 of 4

Fund Name: 100 - General Fund

Date Range: 04/01/2021 To 04/30/2021

Date Vendor

Total For Selected Checks

Description

Check #

Void Account Name

F-A-O-P

<u>Total</u> 146,401.89

Report Version: 03/31/2015

Page 4 of 4



City of Mahtomedi 600 Stillwater Road Mahtomedi, MN 55115

651-651-426-3344 Fax 651-426-1786

Bill To:

City of Grant 111 Wildwood Road PO Box 577 Willernie MN 55090 Invoice: April 1, 2021

1st Quarter Billing—Fire Contract

Date	Туре	Invoice	Description	Amount	Payment	Balance
04.01.21			2021 Q1 Fire Contract	37,499.25		37,499.25
	+					
						-
					Total	37,499.25

CURRENT 37,499.25	30 DAYS	180 DAYS	210 DAYS	240 DAYS
----------------------	---------	----------	----------	----------



Invoice

DATE	INVOICE#
4/26/21	2597

BILL TO	JOB ADDRESS	
CITY OF GRANT 111 WILDWOOD RD WILLERNIE, MN 55090	PUMP HOUSE	

DUE DATE

			5/6/21
DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT COST	AMOUNT
3-31-21 LOOK AT PUMP. STARTS BUT QUITS AFTER 10 SECONDS. WATER COMES OUT PIPE SO WE KNOW IT'S NOT FROZEN (2 HRS X 2 MEN)	4	55.00	220.00
4-01-21 & 4-02-21 TROUBLESHOOT PUMP & MEASURE AMPS. DRAWS 3 TIMES MAX (2 HRS EACH DAY X 2)	4	55.00	220.00
4-06-21 325G MAKE ACESS TO PLACE PUMP AND DIG PIPE INTO STREET SO IT WOULD NOT NEED TO BE CLOSED, LEVEL RC-5	5	115.00	575.00
4-06-21 T600 & T50	1	160.00	160.00
4-06-21 PICK UP PUMP, SET UP AND RUN LINE (5HRS X 3)	15	55.00	825.00
4-11-21 HAVE BEARING SPECIALIST CHECK MOTOR BEARINGS, UNBOLT MOTOR AND TRY TO REMOVE (1HR X 3)	3	55.00	165.00
4-15-21 UNBOLT PUMP, REMOVE ROOF ACESS AND PULL PUMP			800.00
4-17-21 DROP PUMP AND MOTOR OFF A RELIANCE ELECTRIC IN HUDSON	2	55.00	110.00
4-19-21 KEYS MISSING FROM PUMP, PICK UP NEW KEY AND FITTINGS TO PUMP OUT INSIDE OF WELL HOUSE	5	55.00	275.00
4-22-21 PICK UP PARTS AND PIECES TO MOVE PUMP LINE DIRECTLY OVER BURIED LINE AND MOVE LINE (2.5 X 3)	9	55.00	495.00
4-23-21 PUT 10 FOOT SUCTION HOSE ON PUMP AND CLEAN OUT PUMP CHAMBER TO SEE IF IT IS PLUGGED AND CONDITION. MEASURE WATER FLOW TO DETERMINE IF INFLOW MATCHES PUMP. WATER COMES IN ABOUT 250 GALLONS PER MINUTE. (2.5 X 3)	7.5	55.00	412.50
FUEL PUMP 75 GALLONS PER DAY X \$3.00 + FUEL TRUCK \$75 + 1.5 HRS TWICE PER DAY TO FILL AND MONITER PUMP			0.00 0.00
4-07-21 (225 + 75 + 165) 4-08-21 4-09-21 4-10-21			465.00 465.00 465.00
4-11-21			465.00
4-12-21			465.00
4-13-21		1	465.00
4-14-21			465.00
4-15-21			465.00
4-16-21			465.00 465.00
4-17-21			465.00



DATE	INVOICE #
4/26/21	2597

BILL TO	JOB ADDRESS
CITY OF GRANT 111 WILDWOOD RD WILLERNIE, MN 55090	PUMP HOUSE

			DUE DATE
			5/6/21
DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT COST	AMOUNT
4-18-21 35 GAL X 3 + 75 + 82.5 4-20-21 4-22-21 PUMP RENTAL (PER WEEK)	2	1,235.00	262.50 465.00 465.00 2,470.00
AMTS PAST 30 DAYS WILL BE SUBJECT TO A 1 1/2% MONHTLY SERV	Total		13,035.00



Invoice

DATE	INVOICE#
4/26/21	2600

JOB ADDRESS	
DITCHWORK 100-43126	
	DITCHWORK

DUE DATE 5/6/21

DESCRIPTION QTY **UNIT COST AMOUNT** 4-20-21 FIX HOLE ON LAKE ELMO AVE WHERE TREE FELL OVER 0.00 4-20-21 325G 115.00 1 115.00 4-20-21 T600 & T50 1 160.00 160.00 4-20-21 FIX EROSION ON 68TH ST W 0.00 4-20-21 LOADS OF TOPSOIL FILL 4 175.00 700.00 4-20-21 325G 4.5 115.00 517.50 4-20-21 E70 4.5 90.00 405.00 4-20-21 T600 & T50 1 160.00 160.00 4-21-21 DITCHWORK ALONG NORTH SIDE OF IRONWOOD HILL 0.00 4-21-21 E70 6.5 90.00 585.00 4-21-21 325G 6.5 115.00 747.50 4-21-21 LT9000 7 85.00 595.00 4-21-21 T600 & T50 1 160.00 160.00 AMTS PAST 30 DAYS WILL BE SUBJECT TO A 1 1/2% MONHTLY SERV **Total** CHARGE 4,145.00

CHARGE



Invoice

DUE DATE

830.00

DATE	INVOICE #
4/26/21	2599

BILL TO	JOB ADDRESS	
CITY OF GRANT 111 WILDWOOD RD WILLERNIE, MN 55090	GRAVEL 100-43106	

5/6/21 **DESCRIPTION** QTY **UNIT COST AMOUNT** 4-06-21 LOADS OF RC-5 HAULED FOR PUMP ACESS (DOUBLE HAULED) 2 245.00 490.00 4-07-21 RC-5 HAULED FOR WASHOUT ON 68TH ST W 1 170.00 170.00 4-20-21 RC-5 HAULED FOR HOLE ON LAKE ELMO AVE 1 170.00 170.00 AMTS PAST 30 DAYS WILL BE SUBJECT TO A 1 1/2% MONHTLY SERV

Total



Invoice

DATE	INVOICE #
4/26/21	2598

ADDRESS	

DUE DATE

3-25-21 740A 3-26-21 770B 3-26-21 770B 3-26-21 740A 3-28-21 770B 3-31-21 770B 5 80.00 544 3-31-21 770B 5 80.00 400 4-07-21 770B 8.5 80.00 4-07-21 740A 7 80.00 680 4-11-21 770B 7 80.00 600 4-11-21 740A 7 80.00 600 4-11-21 740A 7 80.00 600 4-11-21 770B 7 80.00 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600			5/6/21
3-25-21 740A 3-26-21 770B 3-26-21 770B 3-26-21 740A 3-28-21 770B 3-31-21 770B 3-31-	DESCRIPTION	QTY UNIT COST	AMOUNT
AMTS PAST 30 DAYS WILL BE SUBJECT TO A 1 1/2% MONHTLY SERV	3-25-21 740A 3-26-21 770B 3-26-21 770B 3-3-28-21 770B 3-31-21 770B 3-31-21 770B 4-07-21 770B 4-07-21 740A 4-11-21 770B 4-11-21 770B 4-14-21 770B 4-14-21 740A	6 80.00 9 80.00 6.75 80.00 5 80.00 5 80.00 7 80.00 7 80.00 7 80.00 7 80.00 5 80.00 8.5 80.00 8.5 80.00 8.5 80.00 9 80.00 9 80.00 10 80.00 11 80.00 12 80.00 13 80.00	600.00 480.00 720.00 720.00 540.00 400.00 680.00 560.00 560.00 560.00 440.00

A.R.C. Paving Inc.

PO BOX 401 MN 55038

Date	Invoice #
4/12/2021	2

Bill To	
City of Grant	

P.O. No.	Terms	Project

Quantity	Description	Rate	Amount
	Street repair 79th and Leeward Street repair 67th In and Keats 8.25 hrs combined	4,908.75	4,908.7
	Price per ton - Hot Mix 51tons	3,583.72	3,583.7
ept streets an	d patched with sand mix.	Total	\$8,492.4

A.R.C. Paving Inc.

PO BOX 401 MN 55038

Date	Invoice #
4/6/2021	1

Bill To		
City of Grant		

P.O. No.	Terms	Project	Ī
1			

Quantity	Description	Rate	Amount
	Street repair @ 6.5 hrs Price per ton - Hot Mix - 26 tons	3,867.50 1,827.02	3,867.50 1,827.02
Street patching I	rish Ave	Total	\$5,694.52

A.R.C. Paving Inc.

PO BOX 401 MN 55038

Date	Invoice #
4/16/2021	3

Bill To		
City of Grant		

P.O. No.	Terms	Project

Quantity	Description	Rate	Amount
	Street repair 115th and Lockridge Knollwood Ave and Hwy - 7hrs Price per ton - Hot Mix - 27 ton	4,165.00 1,890.00	4,165.00 1,890.00
		Total	\$6,055.00

City of Grant, MN Resolution No. 2021-07 A Resolution for The Abatement Of Street Assessment Certified In The Wrong Amount

WHEREAS, The City of Grant did certify a street assessment to Washington County. On the list one (1)Property I.D. had an incorrect amount assessed.

WHEREAS, attached is the completed Abatement Form which needs to be filed at Washington County to remove the certification of a street assessment bill from Property I.D. 11.030.21.33.0006, Grant, MN 55082.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Grant County of Washington, State of Minnesota, that the Abatement Forms be approved and sent to Washington County with this Resolution to correct the certifications of the amount of this street assessment to \$0.00.

Adopted this 4"dayor May by the Grant City	Council.
Mayor Jeff Huber	
City Clerk	



MEMO

To: Mayor and City Council

CC: Kim Points, City Administrator/Clerk

David Snyder, City Attorney

From: Jennifer Haskamp, City Planner

RE: Draft Ordinance for Interim Uses with Performance Standards for ADU's

Date: April 27, 2021

Background & Summary

At the regular City Council meeting on March 30, 2021 a memo and draft ordinance was presented for consideration to add Interim Uses to Chapter 32 Zoning. Generally, the City Council was supportive of the draft ordinance but needed additional time to research, consider and discuss some components of the ordinance. Based on discussion, staff understand the key outstanding issues to include:

- Management/enforcement of Interim Use Permits
- Understanding the difference between Interim and Conditional Uses.
- Classification of uses on the Table of Uses (32-246) as proposed in the draft ordinance.
- Accessory building construction prior to principal structure as an Interim Use.
- Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) as a new use, and proposed Interim Use.

Because there are many unanswered questions and lack of specific direction provided in March, Staff did not update the draft ordinance presented on March 30th to minimize confusion. Upon feedback at the May meeting, staff will update and revise the ordinance per your direction and bring the ordinance back to your regular June meeting.

To assist in your discussion, staff provides the following additional information for each outstanding issue:

Management/enforcement of Interim Use Permits

While not explicitly stated during the discussion, the issue of management and/or enforcement was an underlying theme of the conversation. To assist you with discussion in May, staff provides some additional information on management and enforcement for your consideration:

- Similar to the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) process, staff will begin tracking Interim Use Permits on a spreadsheet which will include the termination date of the IUP. During the City's annual CUP review process, IUPs will also be reviewed and any investigation and/or follow-up conducted. This process has proven to be effective and is directly applicable to the IUP process.
- All IUPs will be drafted with a set of conditions that must be followed. This process will look, feel
 and act just like the CUP process except the conditions will include an expiration or termination date
 of the use.
- Most Interim Uses will not "renew" and will simply exist for the duration that they are permitted by the permit (e.g. a road construction project may have an IUP for the 2-year construction period, and then it is done.) The benefit of the IUP simply terminating is that it reduces the administration required to vacate a CUP when the use is no longer applicable.



- For those Interim Uses that "renew" the conditions will address how the renewal process for that use will be implemented. As with CUPs, the time period and termination trigger will be dependent on the proposed use and should be considered on a case-by-case basis.
- From an enforcement perspective, an Interim Use Permit is easier to revoke if a user is in violation because it does not run with the land. This means that the entitlement is given to the user and therefore does not hold the same entitlement as a CUP which runs with the land and can be passed from owner to owner. This is one of the reasons why most landowners will still prefer a CUP over an IUP.

Difference between Interim and Conditional Uses - Use Classification

There was some confusion at the March 30, 2021 City Council meeting about Interim Uses and how they differ from an entitlement perspective from a Conditional Use. Generally, Interim Uses are granted for a specific user and can be time delimited based on a specific event occurring. This entitlement is less permanent than a Conditional Use that runs with the land into perpetuity. Uses that are more permanent, and are expected to be acceptable into the future, should be classified as a Conditional Use. Uses that are temporary, may be user specific or that you want to have a time limit/sunset should be classified as an Interim Use.

Classification of uses on Table of Uses (32-246)

Using the information in the previous section, please review the draft ordinance which identifies Staff's proposed Interim Use classifications and the full table in 32-246. Please come to the meeting with your list of additional Conditional Uses for consideration/discussion as Interim Uses (if any).

While reviewing the table please consider that if a large capital investment is needed for a particular use that banks do not generally like and/or support IUPs and will not give financing. Uses that require capital investment and are thus more permanent in nature should remain as Conditional Uses, unless there is some compelling reason to reclassify the use.

Accessory building construction prior to Principal Structure

No specific discussion occurred with respect to allowing accessory buildings on parcels prior to the principal structure being constructed. Over the past 10+ years we have had several requests to build an accessory building prior to the principal structure and staff believes the Interim Use Permit would be an effective way to manage this request. Staff requests discussion from the City Council regarding this recommendation.

Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)

The City Council's discussion in March focused on whether ADUs should be added as a "use" to the City's Table of Uses. As staff understands it, there are a few overarching concerns. Staff provides the following additional information for your consideration:

• Council Concern: We do not want ADUs to become rental units all over Grant.

Staff Response: There are a couple provisions within the draft ordinance that would help to limit units becoming "renter-occupied" such as the requirement that all ADUs must be owned by the same owner of the principal building. Since March, staff performed some additional research and found a couple examples of cities that have limited the occupancy of the ADU to members of a "family" who are occupying the principal structure as defined within the city's ordinances. For example, the city of Saint Paul's ordinance is particularly restrictive stating that "The total occupancy of the principal dwelling unit and accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed the definition of family...allowed in a single housekeeping unit." Language similar to this may be an option to further reduce the likelihood that someone would rent the ADU on an open market. However, we would need to make sure that our definition of "family" is up to date and consistent with state law. Additionally, the Council should note that Grant does not have a rental ordinance, and that current property owners can rent



their single-family homes without any permits or licenses from the city. As such, limiting the ADU occupancy to a "family" would apply to the family renting the principal structure.

Also, it should be noted that there are additional ways to limit the occupancy of an ADU through the IUP process and the conditions applied. For example, the city of Saint Paul requires property owners with an ADU to file an annual affidavit with the Zoning Administrator to verify the continued owner-occupancy of the property as their permanent and principal residence and identifying the owner-occupied dwelling unit. The City charges a fee for the "annual" check. This is the type of condition that could be included in all IUPs. While this increases administrative time nominally, it puts the primary responsibility on the owner to remain compliant and demonstrate compliance on a regular basis.

• Council Concern: If permitted, we need to regulate the intensity (size, area, etc.) of ADUs because we do not want two Principal Structures on a single lot.

Staff Response: The current draft ordinance includes language to regulate intensity including: 1) limiting each lot to no more than one ADU; 2) limiting the size of an ADU to 1,000 SF; and 3) requiring the ADU be accessed from the same curb-cut as the Principal Structure. After the City Council meeting, staff performed some additional research and found some municipalities limiting the size of ADUs to no more than 750 square feet. Staff also found examples limiting the size of ADUs based on a percent (30% was most frequently identified) of the principal structure square footage. Any combination of these standards could be adopted as part of the ordinance and as long as they are clearly stated will help control the intensity of the use. Staff also believes that by incorporating these standards into the ordinance we will be able to perform a more thorough review than today because owners/applicants can be honest about their plans for their accessory buildings. As it stands today, we regularly have requests for bathrooms, kitchens, and other improvements in accessory building but there are few standards to apply except "no walls" are permitted to separate spaces. Allowing ADUs with appropriate regulations will help staff when these types of requests moving forward.

• Council Concern: If an ADU is an Interim Use, how do we regulate and manage the zoning enforcement.

Staff Response: The process for an IUP will be very similar to the current CUP process and will include the establishment of conditions for the ADU. Staff recommends that all IUPs be tracked using the same methodology as the CUP process which requires an annual review. As referenced above, if a condition is placed on all IUPs for ADUs that the owner must submit an annual confirmation of the status of the ADU, this could be reviewed as part of that annual review process. With respect to enforcement, the enforcement process will generally be the same as it is for the CUP process except the revocation process is slightly less cumbersome since the IUP is given to the user rather than running with the land into perpetuity. For example, if a condition is placed in all ADU IUPs that if the ADU does not meet the conditions of the permit that the IUP may be revoked. Upon revocation the Owner shall be responsible for removing the kitchen from the ADU.

• Council Concern: Perhaps ADUs should remain not permitted in the City.

Staff Response: This is a viable option. If the City Council is not supportive of this use, the Council can direct staff to remove it from the draft IUP ordinance.



City Council Report for April 2021

To: Honorable Mayor & City Council Members

From: Jack Kramer Building & Code Enforcement Official

City Code Enforcement Activity:

1. No new violations to report.

Building Permit Activity:

1. Twenty-Six (26) Building Permits were issued with a total valuation of \$ 910,962.00.

Respectfully submitted,

Jack & rome

Jack Kramer

Building & Code Enforcement Official

77 7.	Of. making	Obrietian	10545-110th St.N.	3/15/2021	N/A		s	80.00	s	00.09
CG-1.Z0Z	Plumollig	Donough by Apprecen	8154-inabera Circle	3/16/2021 \$		4,899.00	69	111.25	↔	83.43
2021-50	Windows	Feeling	11450 jasmine Tri. N.	+	N/A		₩	80.00	↔	00'09
2021-37	JAVI J	Sace	6463-117th. St. N	3/17/2021 N	N/A		↔	80.00	↔	90.00
	DIvabina	00000	6463-117th. St. N	3/17/2021 N	N/A		\$	80.00	€	60.00
2021-39	Framing Penair	BoBeck	11907-80th. St.N.	3/19/2021 \$		60.00	⇔	24.70	4	18.52
2021-00	Void	Void	Void	Void	Void		Void	-	Void	
2021-01	NOIG NOIG	Heath Allen Homes	7410-73rd. Ct N	3/22/2021	N/A		s)	80.00	€>	00.09
2021-02	HVAC	Shepherd	9850 Hidden Glade Rd.	3/22/2021	N/A		ь	-	မှာ	00.09
2021-03	Dirmbing	Wohlferd	9750 Jamaca Ave. N.	_	N/A			80.00	es l	00.09
2021-04	Swimming Pool	Blasena	9121-96th. St.N.	3/24/2021	N/A		€	643.75	es l	482.81
202 50	HVAC	Wenner	11018-66th. St. N.	3/25/2021	N/A		s	80.00	မှာ	00.00
2021-00	DVAC.	Feeling	11450 jasmine Tri. N.	3/27/2021	N/A		↔	80.00	မှာ	00.09
70-1707	OVAL.	Kalland	6071 Jasmine Ave. N	3/30/2021	N/A		69	80.00	↔	00.09
2021-00	Domo Darmit	Grasik	6495 Ideal Ave. N.	3/30/2021	N/A			100.00	₩	75.00
2021-09	Demodeling	Carofalo	9547 Dellwood Rd.N.	3/31/2021	\$ 125	125,000.00	€	1,133.75	↔	850.31
2021-70	Dimbing	Dollarehall	10980-105th, St	4/2/2021	N/A		↔	80.00	₩	00.09
2021-71	Pidilibilig	Completion	10695-75th St N	⊢-	\$ 25	25,768.00	6	405.75	↔	304.31
2021-72	Windows	California	9130 Knollwood Dr. N.	\vdash		2,770.00	₩	83.25	↔	62.43
2021-73	Williams	Woodbury Litheran Ch	9050-60th St N	-	N/A		€	80.00	63	60.00
2021-74	Solar Dower		10205-103rd. St. N	╌	₩	8,020.00	சு	167.25	မှာ	125.43
2021-13	Screen Porch	Neher	9220 lvy Ave. N.	4/3/2021	\$	45,000.00	မာ	671.75	₩.	503.81
77 77	Solar System	Lindaren	6920 Jasmine Ct. N.	4/5/2021	33	39,755.00	↔	543.25		407.43
2021-77	House & Garage	Gwash	11330 Irish Ave.N.	4/5/2021	\$ 60	608,210.00	დ ფ	3,741.50		2,806.12
2021-70	Deck Deck	Mickelson	9579 Dellwood Rd. N.	4/7/2021	\$ 2	27,000.00	↔	411.95	€ S	308.96
2021-13	Be-Roof	a de S	11517-75Th,St. N.	4/7/2021	\$	12,000.00	€	138.50	မှာ	103.87
2021-00	Carago Addition	Sharpoo	8770-105th. St.N.	4/7/2021	\$	12,480.00	↔	223.25	es l	167.42
Monthly tota	otal				\$ 91	910,962.00	တ ဟ	9,359.90	€	7,019.85