

CITY OF GRANT  
MINUTES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

**DATE** : March 5, 2019  
**TIME STARTED** : 7:00 p.m.  
**TIME ENDED** : 8:52 p.m.  
**MEMBERS PRESENT** : Councilmember Carr, Kaup Giefer, Rog and Mayor Huber  
**MEMBERS ABSENT** : None

Staff members present: City Attorney, Dave Snyder; City Engineer, Brad Reifsteck; City Planner, Jennifer Swanson; and Administrator/Clerk, Kim Points

**CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

**PUBLIC INPUT**

No one was present for public input.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**SETTING THE AGENDA**

**Council Member Kaup moved to approve the agenda, as presented. Council Member Rog seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

**CONSENT AGENDA**

February 5, 2019 City Council Meeting Minutes	Approved
February 2019 Bill List, \$85,952.52	Approved
Washington County Sheriff, Jan-December 2018 Police Services, \$64,678.44	Approved

**Council Member Rog moved to approve the consent agenda, as presented. Council Member Giefer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

**STAFF AGENDA ITEMS**

**City Engineer, Brad Reifsteck**

1 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2019-06 Revised Assessment Policy** – City Engineer Reifsteck  
2 advised the current special assessment policy was adopted as resolution 2010-11.

3  
4 The new special assessment policy will provide more detailed guidelines for addressing special  
5 assessments in the City as follows:

- 6  
7
- 8 • The city may contribute budgeted roadway maintenance dollars to the project.
  - 9 • No special assessments will be levied against the City of Grant unless the owned property  
10 meets the definition of a buildable lot.
  - 11 • Defines the term “reconstruct” for all roadways in terms of improving its section or surface.
  - 12 • Defines the term “project” to encompass all roadway segments ordered by Council into a  
13 single project.
  - 14 • Assessments are allocated on a per project basis
  - 15 • Includes paved roads as part of the procedures
  - 16 • The City agrees to initially pay for the cost of the feasibility report.

17 Through Council discussion, revisions were suggested to the draft resolution relating to adding  
18 definitions, the combination of projects and encouragement of combined projects and language to  
19 Council authority to approve or reject a project.

20  
21 The revised Resolution will be on the regular Council agenda on April 2, 2019.

22  
23 **City Planner, Jennifer Swanson**

24  
25 **Consideration of Application for Amended CUP, Dellwood Wedding Barns, 7373 120<sup>th</sup> Street**  
26 **North** – City Planner Swanson advised the Applicant, Scott Jordan, on behalf of the Dellwood Barn  
27 Weddings is requesting an amendment to their current Conditional Use Permit on the subject  
28 property. In 2014 the Applicant obtained a Conditional Use Permit which permitted the conversion of  
29 an existing barn on the property to operate a rural event facility. The events held at the facility are  
30 primarily weddings and operations are seasonal and limited to May 1<sup>st</sup> through October 31<sup>st</sup> of each  
31 year. The approved CUP includes 34 conditions that addressed conversion of the facility, parking,  
32 traffic control, outdoor activities, and hours and operations (See Attached CUP obtained in 2014).

33 The Applicant’s first events were held in 2014 after being granted the CUP and completing the  
34 construction related to the site conversion activities stated within the permit. The facility’s first full  
35 season of operations was 2015 and the facility has been operational for four (4) full seasons. The  
36 Applicant has requested an amendment to specific conditions of their existing permit, specifically  
37 those conditions related to hours of operation and the Site Plan to permit the construction of an  
38 outdoor deck on the south side of the barn.

39  
40 The following staff report is generally as presented to the Planning Commission with some  
41 exceptions. A summary of the Planning Commission and public testimony is provided for your review  
42 and consideration. Subsequent to the Public Hearing the Applicant has also amended and revised their  
43 application request in an effort to address some of the concerns brought forward during public  
44 testimony. The following sections summarize the testimony provided at the public hearing, the  
45 Planning Commission’s discussion, and the Applicant’s revised request.

1  
2 A duly noticed public hearing was held on January 15, 2019 at the Planning Commission's regular  
3 meeting for the purpose of considering the subject request. The Applicant's request to amend their  
4 CUP included the following (see Attachment Applicant's Narrative dated November 26, 2018):  
5

- 6 • Extended hours of operation
  - 7 ○ Monday through Thursday 11AM to 10PM
  - 8 ○ Friday and Saturday 1PM to 11:30PM
  - 9 ○ Allow for events on Sundays, from 11 AM to 10 PM; up to six (6) events per season
- 10 • Permit up to four (4) events per week
- 11 • Construct a commercial deck on the south side of the building (16' x 40' Approximately 640  
12 SF)

13  
14 Staff provided a brief presentation of the request and the Applicant answered a few questions prior to  
15 the Planning Commission opening the public hearing. Most testimony was provided by immediate  
16 neighbors to the subject property in both the City's of Grant and Hugo. The following summary of  
17 public testimony is provided (full testimony is available on video):  
18

- 19 • Immediate neighbors to the north in Hugo provided testimony against any expansion of the  
20 use. They stated that they believe the noise is too much and is overwhelming at times  
21 (particularly when the doors on the north are open) and that they do not believe the conditions  
22 in the permit are being met consistently.
- 23 • Several neighbors requested that Sundays be protected and that no events be permitted. This  
24 is their one day of respite from the event related activity on the site.
- 25 • Some neighbors voiced concern over how the deck would be monitored/affect the number of  
26 people congregating outdoors. This concern was brought up by both Hugo and Grant  
27 residents.
- 28 • One neighbor expressed that there may be some compromise, including hours on weekdays  
29 that were more reasonable as long as they did not interfere with kid's school hours, etc., but  
30 that there should be no events on Sundays or more events permitted.
- 31 • Concern over the deck plan – how big, location, etc. – were stated. There was  
32 acknowledgement by all parties that guests do go outside and that there are already outdoor  
33 informal congregating areas on the site such as near the fire pit and on the south side of the  
34 Barn.

35  
36 City Planner Swanson noted after the public hearing was closed, the Planning Commission held  
37 discussion regarding the requested amendments. Generally, Planning Commission members were  
38 struggling with permitting the intensification of the use given the testimony of the residents. A  
39 couple members of the commission expressed willingness to amend the permit, if there were ways  
40 that the operations could be improved to benefit all parties (Applicants and Neighbors). However,  
41 after deliberation, the Planning Commission could not come to a solution that seemed to accomplish

1 that objective and ultimately recommended denial of all of the requested amendments to the CUP to  
2 the City Council.

3  
4 After the Planning Commission meeting the Applicant requested that the Application be tabled until  
5 the March 5, 2019 City Council meeting so that both Scott and Julie Jordan could be in attendance for  
6 the discussion. In addition, the Applicant’s have decided to modify their request to try and address  
7 some of the concerns of the neighbors. Their revised request is contained in the letter dated February  
8 16, 2019 which is provided (and Attached) for consideration by the City Council.

9 A summary of the Applicant’s revised request is summarized in the following:

- 10
- 11 • Extended hours of Operation on **Weekdays** – As stated in the Applicant’s narrative, when  
12 they made their initial request for weekday events, they did not know that a lunch event was  
13 not marketable and that corporate events would only be interested if larger blocks of time were  
14 available. As a result, even though the Applicant’s current CUP permits up to three (3) events  
15 per week, the current weekday hours of operation do not reasonably allow for an event.  
16 Initially the Applicant had requested hours on weekdays be permitted to 10 PM, they have  
17 now **modified their request to 8 PM.**
- 18 • Extended hours of Operation on **Fridays and Saturdays** – The Applicant has REVISED their  
19 request to extend hours until 11 PM. This is an extension of ½-hour from the current permit  
20 conditions.
- 21 • The Applicant has **WITHDRAWN** their request for Sunday Events.
- 22 • The Applicant has **WITHDRAWN** their request for four (4) events per week.
- 23 • The Applicant has **not modified their request to construct a deck** on the south side of the  
24 Barn. They have supplemented their request with a copy of the Sound Study completed as part  
25 of their initial application (Attachment). The Applicant does acknowledge, and did  
26 acknowledge at the Planning Commission meeting, that a full deck plan meeting commercial  
27 building code (at a minimum) would be a required condition of any approval.
- 28 • Finally, in addition to the Applicant’s modified request, a written correspondence from the  
29 Washington County Sheriff’s Office regarding their experience assisting with security during  
30 events has also been submitted for consideration.

31 Since the Applicant has revised their original application, staff has updated the following staff report  
32 to address the proposed changes.

33  
34 **Project Summary**

35 Applicant and Owner: Scott Jordan, Dellwood Barn Weddings	Site Size: 37.14 Acres
Zoning & Land Use: A-1	Request: Amended Conditional Use Permit (CUP)

Location Description and PIDs:

(PID 0503021210005) The Dellwood Wedding Barn (Rural Event Facility), parking, Informal Gathering space, etc., are located the approximately 23.72-acre parcel. The proposed deck expansion is located on this parcel and located on the south side of the facility. (PID 0503021210004) The Outdoor Ceremony space, and septic drainfield that supports the facility are located on the 13.42-acre parcel and no changes are proposed on this portion of the property.

The Applicant is proposing to amend the existing CUP for the subject operations to allow for the construction of an outdoor deck and revise and extend the permitted hours of operations. Consistent with condition #34 in their permit, “Any change in use, building, outdoor gathering areas, lighting, parking, storage, screening, traffic circulation shall require an amendment to the Conditional Use Permit.” A summary of their requested amendments is provided:

- Condition #2 States, “The Dellwood Wedding Barn shall be permitted to conduct no more than three (3) events per week.”

*The Applicant has **WITHDRAWN** their request to amend this permit condition.*

- Condition #4 establishes the hours of operation for the facility. The Applicant is proposing to amend the permitted hours of operation as follows (modifications from Planning Commission are denoted with ~~strikethrough~~ and underline):

2014 CUP Conditions	Proposed 2019 CUP Conditions (REVISED)
Monday – Thursday 11:00 AM – <b>2:00 PM</b>	Monday – Thursday 11:00 AM – <del>10:00</del> <b><u>8:00</u></b> PM
Friday and Saturday 1:00 PM to <b>11:00 PM (where 11PM is stipulated as vacated and dark)</b>	Friday and Saturday 1:00 PM to <del>11:30</del> <b><u>11:00PM (where 11:30 PM is stipulated as vacated and dark)</u></b>
No events permitted on Sunday	No events permitted on Sunday <del>Sunday 11:00 AM — 10:00 PM, Max 6 Events/Season</del>

- Condition #34 States, “Any change in use, building, outdoor gather areas...shall require an amendment to the Condition Use Permit.” The Applicant is proposing to construct an outdoor commercial deck 16-feet x 40-feet on the south side of the existing facility to allow for an organized outdoor gathering space.

City Planner Swanson advised according to the existing Conditional Use Permit, the proposed changes to the operation and the facility require an Amendment to their CUP. The City Code addresses amendments to existing CUPs in Section 32-152 that states, “An amended conditional use permit application may be administered in a manner similar to that required for a new conditional use permit...” As such, the Application to amend the CUP is processed accordingly, and the requested amendment is to consider only those portions of the operations and/or facility that are proposed to

1 change. The City Code states the following for consideration when reviewing a Conditional Use  
2 Permit (32-141):

3  
4 “(d) In determining whether or not a conditional use may be allowed, the City will consider the  
5 nature of the nearby lands or buildings, the effect upon traffic into and from the premises and on  
6 adjoining roads, and all other relevant factors as the City shall deem reasonable prerequisite of  
7 consideration in determining the effect of the use on the general welfare, public health and safety.”

8 (e) If a use is deemed suitable, reasonable conditions may be applied to issuance of a conditional use  
9 permit, and a periodic review of said permit may be required.”

10 Section 32-352 identifies specific performance standards for Rural Event Facilities which must be  
11 addressed in the application and analysis of the proposed amendments.

12 In order to determine the appropriateness of the proposed amendments to the CUP, the proposal will  
13 be reviewed for compliance and consistency with adjacent uses, the zoning district regulations, the  
14 performance standards, and other supplemental regulations. Additionally, since there is an existing  
15 CUP that addresses the on-site operations, a summary of current compliance should also be  
16 considered when evaluating the proposed amendments.

17  
18 The site is located in the far northwestern corner of the community and the parcel is bordered by the  
19 City of Hugo to the north, and the City of Dellwood to the south. The following existing site  
20 conditions are present on each of the PIDs as referenced above. (See site Plan for Details):

21  
22 0503021210005 – The parcel is described as Lot 5 of the Meadowlark Subdivision and is the  
23 northwestern most parcel of the Subdivision. The parcel is approximately 23.72 acres, is irregular in  
24 shape, and located southeast of 120<sup>th</sup> Street North which forms a curvilinear border on the north and  
25 west property line. The parcel includes an existing house (principal structure); the existing  
26 Dellwood Wedding Barn which is approximately 3,800 square feet; three existing out buildings that  
27 total approximately 7,200 square feet; and an existing corn crib which is approximately 820 square  
28 feet and is located directly south of the Barn. The Applicant indicated during the Planning  
29 Commission meeting that outdoor happy hours associated with the events are held on the graveled  
30 area between the Barn and the Corn Crib, and that additional outdoor gathering occurs near the firepit  
31 near the parking lot. The home and buildings are accessed from an existing gravel driveway that is  
32 connected to 120<sup>th</sup> Street North in two locations and is approximately 12-foot wide. As required by  
33 the conditions of the CUP, the Applicant constructed a graveled parking lot directly west of the  
34 Dellwood Wedding Barn facility which is connected by footpaths to the facility.

35  
36 0503021210004 – The parcel is described as Lot 4 of the Meadowlark Subdivision and is adjacent,  
37 and to the east, of Lot 5 described above. The parcel is approximately 13.42 Acres, is slightly  
38 irregular in shape, but generally runs north-south with its northern property line bordered by 120<sup>th</sup>  
39 Street North. The parcel does not have a principal structure and for purposes of this review is  
40 considered in combination with Lot 5. The parcel has three existing outbuildings that total  
41 approximately 3,425 square feet and are located in the northwest corner of the property. There is an  
42 existing wood fence that extends from the Barn located on Lot 5 and encompasses the outbuildings on  
43 Lot 4. The majority of the site is generally open, with some sparse vegetation and a wetland area near  
44 the southwestern property line. This site includes supporting infrastructure to the Dellwood Wedding  
45 Barn and is used for outdoor ceremonies as permitted within the existing CUP.

1  
 2 The site is guided A-1 in the City’s adopted Comprehensive Plan. Land within the A-1 land use  
 3 designation is generally described as supporting rural, agricultural and rural residential uses with  
 4 limited accessory commercial uses as identified and allowed within the City’s zoning ordinance. The  
 5 City’s ordinances conditionally permit Rural Event Facilities provided certain performance standards  
 6 can be met. The existing Dellwood Wedding Barn was permitted with a CUP in 2014 and deemed  
 7 consistent with the City’s Comprehensive Plan.

8  
 9 Since the Applicant is requesting an Amendment to their current CUP it is reasonable to review  
 10 current operations for compliance with the approved permit. After the permit was approved the  
 11 Applicant was required to meet the conditions as stated within the approved CUP, which included  
 12 conditions for construction and conversion of the site to support the proposed Dellwood Barn  
 13 Wedding facility. In 2014 the Applicant worked through the conditions as stated performing site  
 14 work and construction. After meeting the conditions of both the City Engineer and Building  
 15 Inspector the facility was permitted to open and become operational.

16  
 17 In the first season of operation the facility was working through issues and compliance with CUP  
 18 conditions. The City received several complaints that were promptly addressed with the Applicants.  
 19 Since working through initial issues there has been only one (1) formal complaint filed regarding  
 20 condition #7 that requires the barn doors on the north façade to be secured and closed at all times  
 21 when amplified music is playing. Again, the City worked with the Applicant who promptly addressed  
 22 the issue, and no additional complaints or issues have been noted. Staff notes that during the Public  
 23 Hearing, some neighbors provided testimony that they believe the barn doors on the north have been  
 24 open far more than what has been reported, and that they have simply stopped making formal  
 25 complaints. This is difficult for staff to verify since complaints have not been received at the City,  
 26 and the City does not have code enforcement officers that patrol the City. However, Staff brings it to  
 27 your attention for consideration when evaluating this request.

28  
 29 The Applicant and Owner/operator have complied with the conditions of their CUP and have been  
 30 responsive to the City when issues have been brought to their attention. Staff expects the positive  
 31 relationship to continue and concludes that the site in compliance with the CUP.

32  
 33 **Zoning/Site Review**

34  
 35 Rural Event Facilities were added as a permitted conditional use in 2014 with specific performance  
 36 standards. The following dimensional review is provided as background, and the subsequent  
 37 evaluation completed for the proposed outdoor commercial deck expansion.

38  
 39 The following site and zoning requirements in the A-1 district regulate the site and proposed project:

<b>Dimension</b>	<b>Standard</b>
Lot Size	20 acres
Frontage – Per Sections 32-245 & 32-352)	County/State Road and 300’
Front yard - centerline of County Road (Principal Structure)	150’

Front Yard Setback	65'
Side Yard Setback (Per Section 32-352)	100'
Rear Yard Setback	25'
Height of Structure	35'
Fence	May be on property line, but not within any ROW
Driveway Setback	5'
Parking Lot setback	10' from ROW
Wetland Setback Structure (Buffer)	75' (50')
Impervious surface coverage	50%
Floor Area Ratio	30%

1

Wedding Barn Facility (Setbacks & Frontage):

The existing barn is located approximately 140-feet from the centerline of 120<sup>th</sup> Street North, and 65-feet from the front yard property line. The Barn is approximately 480-feet from the eastern property line of Lot 4, 425-feet from the west property line, and more than 1000-feet from the rear (south) property line. Per the performance standards for Rural Event Facilities, the facility shall be located at least 100-feet from any side-yard lot line. The proposed deck expansion is located on the south side of the facility and is setback further away from the centerline of 120<sup>th</sup> Street North than the facility. The proposed deck is setback from the eastern edge of the facility by approximately 20-feet and therefore is setback more than 500-feet from the eastern property line. ***The proposed deck addition as shown on the submitted site plan meets and exceeds the setback requirements identified in the City's adopted ordinances.***

Parking:

The Applicant constructed the parking lot consistent with the conditions of the existing CUP. There are no proposed changes to the parking lot, its configuration or number of stalls as part of this application. The deck expansion does not change Condition #3 of the existing permit which sets the maximum occupancy of the facility at 253 persons with subsequent parking calculations derived from the occupancy. ***As proposed, the deck expansion does not alter or affect the number of parking spaces or permitted occupancy of the facility.***

Driveway/Circulation:

The proposed deck addition is on the south side of the facility and there is no impact to drive aisles, parking lots or other circulation of the site. ***As proposed, no changes to driveway, circulation or access is reviewed or approved as part of this application process.***

Lighting

Section 32-321 Lighting, Light Fixtures and Glare addresses lighting standards of off-street parking areas and indicates that no more than 1 footcandle may be emitted on a public street, and no more than 0.4 footcandles on adjacent residential property. The lighting plan of the facility was reviewed and approved as part of the existing CUP process.



~~No information was provided as to whether additional lighting of the outdoor deck area is contemplated. During the Applicant's presentation to the Planning Commission they indicated that no additional lighting would be installed on the deck, and that the deck would be 'closed' at dark and the door to the deck from the barn secured. Even if the deck is 'closed', if the Council considers permitting the construction of the deck, staff would still recommend adding a condition to the amended permit to address any proposed lighting as a result of constructing the outdoor deck gathering space. The Applicant should be aware that any proposed lighting of the outdoor deck space must be compliant with the City's adopted ordinances.~~

## Hours of Operation

The Applicant has revised their original request in this Application. The following revised hours of operation are denoted:

- The CUP permits hours of operation as follows:

Monday through Thursday 11 AM to 8 PM.

*The Applicant proposes to extend the permitted hours of event operations to 11 AM to 8 PM.*

Friday and Saturday 1:00 PM to 11:00 PM, site fully dark at 11:30 PM.

*The Applicant proposes to extend the permitted hours of event operations to 1:00 PM to 11:00 PM.*

The Applicant has submitted a revised plan for extended hours of operation to respond to Planning Commission discussion and testimony provided by the public. The request to permit more events per week (up to four) and to have Sunday events as been WITHDRAWN. Staff believes that the Applicant has made a sincere attempt to respond to the neighbor's concerns and to the concerns expressed by the Planning Commission. Staff understands the Applicant's request, particularly related to weekday hours since the current permit only allows for events between 11 AM and 2 PM, which is a very limited window. Some public testimony was provided that indicated some willingness to consider hours on weekdays that would not interfere with school and work hours. Staff requests discussion by the City Council regarding this item, and also offers the following discussion items:

- A potential solution could consider extended hours in fewer months than the current permit season? For example, in the months of June – August so as not to conflict with the school year?
- If sound is a primary consideration, could amplification be limited on weekdays? Or weekdays after a certain hour?

## Noise/Amplification

There are no changes proposed to the conditions related to amplification

as part of this request. That is, the Applicant is not proposing additional activities on the north lawn, etc.; however, the extended hours of operation during the weekdays until 8 PM could be significantly different than the current condition and the extended weekend hours would permit an additional ½-hour of amplification during events. Regardless of permitted hours of operation, as discussed during the 2014 application review process, all amplification and noise must meet the MPCA’s noise standards, which would apply to weekday hours which have earlier cut-off times than weekends.

In addition to the extended hours of operation the deck on the south façade also has the potential to increase outdoor noise during events. The Applicant’s materials were not clear as to how the deck would be accessed, the height of the deck off the ground, whether there would be a new door, etc. Current drawings suggest that there would be no door to the deck ‘cut’ into the barn, and that access to the deck would be from the outside. However, at the Planning Commission meeting the Applicant referenced a door to the deck. While these details may have no significance from a noise perspective, depending on the size of the door, whether it would be open, etc., then the same issues for neighbors to the south may be introduced as on the north.

Staff understands the Applicant’s hesitancy to prepare full-scale architectural drawings for the deck, but there are some additional details that would be helpful to evaluate the potential impact. Staff suggests that if the City Council is open to consideration of the deck, that additional, accurate details regarding the deck, access, etc., be provided so that staff can prepare a reasonable review.

1  
 2 City Planner Swanson noted there are no changes to the site that require engineering review at this  
 3 time. If any significant grading or site alterations are needed to construct the deck, the City Engineer  
 4 will be involved and review any needing grading permits. ***Staff would recommend adding a***  
 5 ***condition to the amended permit that the Applicant shall be required to obtain any necessary***  
 6 ***grading permits from the City Engineer at time of building permit application.***

7  
 8 The property is located within the Rice Creek Watershed District, and the Applicant is responsible for  
 9 contacting them to determine whether any permitting is required to construct the proposed deck.

10  
 11 The Planning Commission recommended denial of the request to Amend the existing Conditional Use  
 12 Permit for the Dellwood Barn Weddings Rural Event Facility based on the original Application  
 13 narrative. However, the Applicant has since revised their request to respond to public testimony and  
 14 the Planning Commission discussion. Given the revised request, staff requests discussion, and  
 15 direction from the City Council so that a Resolution can be brought forward for consideration at the  
 16 regular April meeting. Staff requests the following direction from the City Council to:

- 17  
 18 • Prepare a Resolution of Approval and an Amended CUP; or  
 19 • Prepare a Resolution of Denial with Findings; or

- Prepare a Resolution of Approval of certain amended conditions and Denial of certain conditions, and prepare a corresponding amended CUP for consideration.

Mr. Scott Jordan, Applicant, came forward and advised single doors were added to the facility but egress doors were not. The facility only allows noise up to 85 decibels and a decibel meter is on site for control. There is no sound insulation in the barn but a sound enclosure is put around the drum set if a live band is performing. The addition of the deck would keep people on the south side of the property as opposed to the north where the nearest neighbors are. Panels can be installed to mitigate the deck noise.

Ms. Julie Jordan, Applicant, came forward and advised the complaint that came in last year was because the doors were open due to the extreme heat. When the doors are closed neighbors cannot hear the music. She also noted the purpose of the extension of time on Friday and Saturday night is because weddings must start fairly early on a Friday afternoon to be finished by closing time. It is difficult to start early on a Friday afternoon due to typical workday hours.

Through much discussion, it was the consensus of the Council that a deck would not be allowed without an enclosure and sound mitigation plan. An end time for events during the week would be 6:00 p.m. and an additional half hour end time on Friday and Saturday nights would be denied as the current end time seems reasonable.

Council directed staff to draft a resolution and Conditional Use Permit reflecting the Council discussion and bring back to the April City Council meeting.

**City Attorney, Dave Snyder (no action items)**

### **NEW BUSINESS**

**Reappointment of Incumbent Planning Commissioners Jerry Helander and Jeff Schafer**– Staff referred to the City Ordinance regarding the Planning Commission and noted terms are up for Planning Commissioners Helander and Schafer. Council is being asked to consider reappointment of the two Commissioners.

**Council Member Rog moved to reappoint Planning Commissioners Jerry Helander and Jeff Schafer to another term. Council Member Kaup seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

There was no unfinished business.

### **DISCUSSION ITEMS (no action taken)**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Staff Updates (updates from Staff, no action taken)**

There were no staff updates.

**City Council Reports/Future Agenda Items**

No items were discussed to be placed on a future agenda.

**COMMUNITY CALENDAR MARCH 6 THROUGH MARCH 30, 2019:**

**Mahtomedi Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, March 14<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup>, Mahtomedi District Education Center, 7:00 p.m.**

**Stillwater Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, March 14<sup>th</sup>, Stillwater City Hall, 7:00 p.m.**

**Washington County Commissioners Meeting, Tuesdays, Government Center, 9:00 a.m.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Council Member Kaup moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:52 p.m. Council Member Rog seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

These minutes were considered and approved at the regular Council Meeting April 2, 2019.

---

Kim Points, Administrator/Clerk

---

Jeff Huber, Mayor