

CITY OF GRANT  
MINUTES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

**DATE** : November 1, 2021  
**TIME STARTED** : 7:00 p.m.  
**TIME ENDED** : 8:09 p.m.  
**MEMBERS PRESENT** : Councilmember Carr, Rog, Giefer,  
Schafer and Mayor Huber  
**MEMBERS ABSENT** : None

Staff members present: City Planner, Jennifer Swanson; City Treasurer, Sharon Schwarze; and Administrator/Clerk, Kim Points

**CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

**PUBLIC INPUT**

No one was present for public input.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**SETTING THE AGENDA**

**Council Member Rog moved to approve the agenda, as presented. Council Member Giefer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.**

**CONSENT AGENDA**

- October 5, 2021 City Council Meeting Minutes Approved
- October 2021 Bill List, \$65,769.22 Approved
- Allied Blacktop, Final Pay Voucher, \$41,214.69 Approved
- ARC Paving, Town Hall Parking Lot, \$31,290.00 Approved
- Kline Bros., Special Roads Projects,  
Brushing, \$19,240.00 Approved
- Kline Bros., Special Roads Projects,  
Frost Boil, \$39,549.00 Approved
- H&R Construction, Special Roads Projects,

1	Guard Rail, \$23,512.50	Approved
2		
3	City of Mahtomedi, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter Billing,	
4	\$37,499.25	Approved
5		
6	Northern Salt, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Dust Control, \$32,885.44	Approved
7		
8	New Life Contracting, Town Hall	
9	Siding, \$18,250.00	Approved

10  
11 **Council Member Schafer moved to approve the consent agenda, as presented. Council Member**  
12 **Rog seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.**

13  
14 **STAFF AGENDA ITEMS**

15  
16 **City Engineer, Brad Reifsteck (no action items)**

17  
18 **City Planner, Jennifer Swanson**

19  
20 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2021-14, Application for Amended Conditional Use Permit for**  
21 **Two Silo Farmhouse Resort, 7040 117<sup>th</sup> Street N** – City Planner Swanson advised the Two Silo  
22 Farmhouse Resort was granted a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) on November 2 and executed on  
23 November 12, 2020 for operations of a Farm Winery and Resort consistent with the City’s  
24 ordinances. The 2020 CUP identified 38 conditions, and condition #32 specifically stated, “Any  
25 future expansion or intensification of the Two Silo Farmhouse Resort operations shall require an  
26 amendment to the Permit. Intensification shall include, but not be limited to: additional  
27 facilities/accessory buildings (not sheds) beyond those identified on the site plan, expansion of the  
28 parking lot beyond 22 stalls, substantial increase to the number of guests identified in the addendum  
29 to the narrative, etc.”

30  
31 On June 29, 2021 the Owner and Applicant, Keith Dehnert on behalf of Two Silo Farmhouse Resort  
32 LLC, submitted an application for 1) the demolition of an existing 4,250 SF accessory building and 2)  
33 a Building Permit to construct a new 6,000 SF building to replace the existing building to be used for  
34 the Two Silo Farmhouse winery operations. The Applicant also contacted Washington County to  
35 obtain an access permit that included the Site Plan which identified the new 6,000 SF building. Staff  
36 reviewed the existing 2020 CUP which includes direct reference to the approved Site Plan appended  
37 to the 2020 CUP review process. The approved operations and Site Plan did not include the subject  
38 building for demolition, and further the proposed building was not reviewed or identified on the  
39 approved Site Plan. Since the proposed building (nor the existing accessory building recently  
40 demolished) were identified as part of the operations, condition #32 is tripped and an Amendment to  
41 the 2020 CUP is required.

42  
43 Staff informed the Owner that an Amendment to the 2020 CUP is required to obtain a Building  
44 Permit for the new accessory building to be used as part of the Two Silo Farmhouse winery  
45 operations. On August 5, 2021 Keith Dehnert on behalf of the Two Silo Farmhouse Resort, LLC

1 submitted an application for an Amendment to the 2020 CUP to allow for the construction of the  
 2 6,000 SF accessory building to be used for the winery operations.

3  
 4 As stated, the existing 2020 CUP for the subject property permits the operation of the Two Silo  
 5 Farmhouse and Resort to operate the winery using two small existing accessory buildings on site. The  
 6 subject of this application is the construction of a new building that would consolidate the winery  
 7 uses into one larger building as opposed to two buildings contemplated in the existing 2020 CUP.

8  
 9 City Planner Swanson noted that all conditions within the 2020 CUP remain VALID and  
 10 ENFORCEABLE, and that the modification proposed relate to the facility only. Attached to this Staff  
 11 Report is a draft of the amended CUP as recommended by the Planning Commission at their October  
 12 18, 2021 meeting. To assist in your review of the modified conditions additions are shown as  
 13 underline and deletions are shown as ~~strikethrough~~.

14  
 15 A duly noticed public hearing was held on October 18, 2021 due to a delay from the regularly  
 16 schedule September meeting. Residents and property owners within 1,320-feet (1/4-mile) were sent a  
 17 letter informing them of the request to amend the 2020 CUP. One member of the public provided  
 18 testimony and stated that they were generally comfortable with the scale of the operation as permitted  
 19 within the 2020 Permit and wanted to make sure that the proposed amendment did not increase the  
 20 intensity of the operations with respect to occupancy, hours of operation, etc.

21  
 22 After consideration of public testimony and presentation by City Staff, the Planning Commission  
 23 recommended unanimous approval of the proposed amendment with the conditions as presented.

24  
 25 The following staff report is generally as presented at the October Planning Commission meeting  
 26 with minor updates and clarifications as provided by the Applicant.

27  
 28 **Application Summary**

29

Applicant: Two Silo Farmhouse Resort, LLC Keith Dehnert, Agent Owner: Arthur F Schaefer Family Living Trust	Site Size: 21.01 Acres
Zoning & Land Use: A1 – Agricultural Large Scale	Request: Amended Conditional Use Permit (CUP)
Location Description and PIDs: PID 0503021220001, subject property generally located northeast of the 117 <sup>th</sup> Street N and Bayhill Road intersection	

30  
 31 The Applicant is requesting an amendment to the existing 2020 CUP issued on November 2, 2020. A  
 32 summary of the proposed amendment is as follows:

- 33 • An existing 4,250 SF building was demolished that was denoted as a “Tin Sided Shed” on the  
 34 approved site plan from 2020. The existing building was noted on the approved site plan but  
 35 was not proposed as part of the initial operations plan. The Applicant has clarified that the

1 original building was used for storage of equipment and materials associated with the winery  
2 operation, but was not specifically described within the approved 2020 CUP.

- 3 • Construction of a new 6,000 SF multi-purpose building to consolidate the winery operations  
4 into one structure. The location of the new building is in the same general location as the  
5 accessory building that was demolished but expands the footprint. The new accessory building  
6 is proposed to be used for the Two Silo Farmhouse winery operations. The new multi-purpose  
7 building will be used for:
  - 8 ○ Approximately 2,000 SF of gathering space, tasting area and wine bar
  - 9 ○ Private tasting room – approximately 375 SF
  - 10 ○ Restrooms – 2 large individual stalls
  - 11 ○ Bottle/Barrel Room – approximately 575 SF
  - 12 ○ Office – approximately 70 SF
  - 13 ○ Storage Room/Area – approximately 2,900 SF
- 14 • Construction of outdoor event space to include:
  - 15 ○ Approximately 1,341 SF deck on west elevation/entrance

16  
17 As stated within the attached narrative no other changes are proposed to the operations, and the  
18 Applicant intends to operate in compliance with the conditions as stated within the approved 2020  
19 CUP (See Attachment A).

20  
21 City Planner Swanson stated the City Code addresses amendments to existing CUPs in Section 32-  
22 152 that states, “An amended conditional use permit application may be administered in a manner  
23 similar to that required for a new conditional use permit...” As such, the Application to amend the  
24 CUP is processed accordingly, and the requested amendment is to consider only those portions of the  
25 operations and/or facility that are proposed to change. The City Code states the following for  
26 consideration when reviewing a Conditional Use Permit (32-141):

27 “(d) In determining whether or not a conditional use may be allowed, the City will consider the  
28 nature of the nearby lands or buildings, the effect upon traffic into and from the premises and on  
29 adjoining roads, and all other relevant factors as the City shall deem reasonable prerequisite of  
30 consideration in determining the effect of the use on the general welfare, public health and safety.”

31 (e) If a use is deemed suitable, reasonable conditions may be applied to issuance of a conditional use  
32 permit, and a periodic review of said permit may be required.”

33  
34 The purpose of this amendment is not to consider the use of the property as a Farm Winery, since the  
35 determination that the use is consistent with the City’s regulations was approved during the 2020  
36 CUP process. This amendment relates specifically to allowing construction of a new multi-purpose  
37 building to house and support the Farm Winery operations, and the facility is specifically addressed  
38 in conditions #4, 32, and 33. Other conditions within the 2020 CUP may be affected by this  
39 amendment, but the intent and conditions will remain valid and enforceable. **No changes to the  
40 operations are proposed as part of this application.**

41  
42 The site is located in the far northwestern corner of the City which is surrounded by the City of Hugo  
43 to the north, and the City of Dellwood to the south. The following existing site conditions generally  
44 describe the property.

1 0503021220001 – The parcel is described as being in the Northwest Quarter of Section 5, Township  
 2 30 North, Range 21 West. The parcel is approximately 21.01 acres, is rectangular in shape, and  
 3 located north of 117<sup>th</sup> Street North which forms the border and frontage on the southerly property  
 4 line. The parcel includes an existing farmhouse (principal structure); a grainery building; a milking  
 5 parlor; five accessory buildings, a windmill; and two silos. The Applicant has planted 18-rows of  
 6 grape vines on either side of the entry driveway. The farmhouse, accessory buildings and vineyards  
 7 are accessed from an existing driveway that is connected to 117<sup>th</sup> Street North on the southerly border  
 8 of the property.

9  
 10 The site is guided A-1 in the City’s adopted Comprehensive Plan. Land within the A-1 land use  
 11 designation is generally described as supporting rural, agricultural, and rural residential uses with  
 12 limited accessory commercial uses as identified and allowed within the City’s zoning ordinance. The  
 13 City’s ordinances conditionally permit resorts, seasonal businesses and rural event facilities provided  
 14 certain performance standards can be met. Agricultural production, such as that associated with the  
 15 grapes/vineyard, are a permitted use. The proposed amendment to the 2020 CUP does not change the  
 16 intended use for a Farm Winery and Resort. The City Council determined that the Two Silo  
 17 Farmhouse and Resort are consistent with the stated objectives in the Comprehensive Plan.

18  
 19 The Applicant submitted a revised Certificate of Survey and Site Plan for the proposed amendment.  
 20 The following dimensional review is provided for review and consideration.

21  
 22 The following site and zoning requirements in the A-1 district for rural event facilities which includes  
 23 the most restrictive regulations and performance standards related to the proposed amendment. The  
 24 following review is conducted focusing on the proposed multi-purpose building and exterior  
 25 improvements.

26

<b>Dimension</b>	<b>Standard</b>
Lot Size	20 acres
Frontage – Per Sections 32-245 & 32-352)	County/State Road and 300’
Front yard - centerline of County Road (Principal Structure)	150’
Front Yard Setback	65’
Side Yard Setback (Per Section 32-352)	100’
Rear Yard Setback	25’
Height of Structure	35’
Accessory Buildings (# and Total SF)	No limit
Fence	May be on property line, but not within any ROW
Driveway Setback	5’
Parking Lot setback	10’ from ROW
Wetland Setback Structure (Buffer)	75’ (50’)
Impervious surface coverage	50%
Floor Area Ratio	30%

**Lot Area and  
Accessory Building  
Standards**

The subject property is approximately 21.01 acres and is oriented north-south. The subject property is accessed from 117<sup>th</sup> Street North (CR-7) from the southern property line which is a County Road. The property has direct access to a County Road as required by Section 32-352 (c)(4-5). *The lot meets the City's ordinance requirements for area and access to a County Road.*

Section 32-313 of the City's ordinance regulates the number of accessory buildings and total square footage permitted based on lot size. Lots greater than 20-acres in size do not have a limit but are subject to coverage requirements (if applicable). Further, the proposed use of this building is governed by the 2020 CUP as a Farm Winery, and as such is subject to the conditions identified.

**Multi-purpose  
Accessory Building  
Setbacks**

The proposed multi-purpose building is setback approximately 142-feet from the easterly property line, 285-feet from the westerly property line, 450-feet from CR-7 the front property line, and more than 1,100-feet from the north property line. As proposed, the multi-purpose accessory building meets all required setbacks. *Condition #6 in the 2020 CUP states that all improvements must be setback a minimum of 100-feet from all property lines. As proposed, the multi-purpose building meets this condition.*

**Architectural/Building  
Plans**

The proposed multi-purpose accessory building is intended to consolidate the activities associated with the farm winery into one new facility. For purposes of this amendment, the following analysis is provided to demonstrate the changes proposed from the 2020 CUP.

**2020 CUP Building Plan**

The Site Plan submitted and approved as part of the 2020 CUP proposed the use of two small buildings for Wine Tasting and Welcome Center. (Approximately 300 SF of area).

**2021 Amended CUP Building Plan for Multi-Purpose facility**

A summary of the multi-purpose building is as follows:

- The proposed building has an agricultural design and appearance based on the elevation renderings (see Attachment E, Sheet 1 of 8). Key architectural elements that are indicative of an "agricultural" style include a shallow pitched standing seam roof, large barn doors providing access to the entry as well as to the storage/production areas.
- Large windows are proposed on the front or west elevation which wrap around the gathering/tasting space areas on the north and south elevation (see Attachment E, Sheet 1 and Sheet 3)
- The proposed exterior materials include LP Smart Board Lap Siding and Board and Batten Siding and a metal standing seam

room.

- No colors are denoted on the plans. Staff would recommend some discussion/clarification regarding the color as exposed metal can create unwanted glare from surrounding properties.
- The proposed building is approximately 25.5-feet tall. The proposed building is within the maximum permitted height for an accessory building.
- Proposed accessory building is approximately 6,000 SF Total, it includes:
  - Approximately 2,000 SF of gathering space, tasting area and wine bar
  - Private tasting room – approximately 375 SF
  - Restrooms – two large individual stalls are proposed and this standard meets Condition #12 and 13 (note the number of stall is correlated to the number of patrons planned for on-site as regulated by Condition #2).
  - Bottle/Barrel Room – approximately 575 SF
  - Office – approximately 70 SF
  - Storage Room/Area – approximately 2,900 SF
- A covered deck is proposed on the west elevation at the entrance, wrapping around on the north elevation. The proposed deck is approximately 1,340 SF (more detail is provided in the subsequent section of this report).

*The proposed building design and architecture is consistent with the agricultural and rural residential character of the surrounding area.*

### **Capacity of New Multi-Purpose Building**

Sheet 4A identifies the floor plan which identifies approximately 2,500 of “public” gathering spaces associated with the farm winery operations. Staff notes that this is significantly larger than the approximately 300-SF of space approved as part of the operations within the existing 2020 CUP. The submitted architectural floor plan states that there is “Interior Space for 52 People” but based on the floor plan there is adequate space for a higher occupancy. Condition #2 clearly states that the maximum capacity of the operation is 75 people (including overnight guests). The maximum occupancy is further regulated by Condition #7, 18 and 19 which requires the construction of a parking lot for 22-vehicles which is directly correlated to the number of occupants on site. The Applicant has reaffirmed and stated that the maximum occupancy stated within the 2020 Plan will be followed and that the new facility will allow for

gathering indoors as opposed to the largely outdoor operations planned for within the 2020 CUP.

### Outdoor Gathering Spaces

The submitted Site Plan (see Attachment D, Certificate of Survey Exhibit) identifies one outdoor gathering spaces on the revised site plan. Clarification regarding the east concrete patio was provided by the Applicant. A summary of each area is provided:

#### Deck (west entrance)

A covered deck space is proposed at the west entrance and extends the full width (55-feet) of the elevation and wraps around on the north façade approximately 44-feet. As denoted on Attachment E, Sheet 5A there is planned seating for up to 22 people. From an occupancy capacity perspective, the total number of patrons based on the plan is 74. Again, similar to the floor plan provided in 4A, based on the plan submitted for the deck more than 22 people could congregate in the outdoor area/deck. Similar to the architectural plan, staff suggests additional documentation regarding the plan to manage occupancy of the deck in compliance with the 2020 CUP conditions.

Condition #25 clearly states that “no amplification of music shall be permitted outdoors, including within the outdoor gathering spaces...” This condition applies to the deck space, if approved.

#### Concrete Patio (east entrance)

The Certificate of Survey (site plan) identifies a 2,000 SF concrete patio. This area extends from the east elevation which is adjacent to the storage area inside the facility and connects (at least visually) to the vine rows to the east. The Applicant has stated that this “concrete patio” should be labeled as an apron and staging area. No formal gathering space is planned in this location and it is intended as an access to the storage portion of the building. *A condition has been added to the amended CUP that states no outdoor gathering is permitted in this location.*

### Lighting

No lighting plan was submitted as part of this application. Condition #24 states that “proposed lighting shall be submitted for review by City Staff...all lighting shall be downcast and for purposes of safety and security of patrons/guests on site...” *Given the scale of the new facility, staff recommends that the lighting plan be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit. The lighting plan must include any site lighting (parking area, driveway) and exterior lighting of the building and any gathering spaces.*

### Landscape Plan

During the 2020 review process the landscaping and buffering of the proposed facility, parking area and outdoor gathering spaces were discussed. The area of most concern was along the eastern property line given the proximity of the residential structure on the adjacent lot. To address this concern Conditions #21 and #22 were added which indicated that a minimum of 10 10-foot black hill spruce (or similar) are to be

planted along the eastern border of the overflow parking lot. This landscaping is not provided in any of the materials submitted for this amended CUP process, but the condition remains valid.

**Miscellaneous  
Considerations/  
Comments regarding  
current 2020 CUP  
Conditions**

Given the scale and size of the new building and associated impervious surface area several of the requirements and conditions are more critical to properly review and consider. The following summary of Conditions, that are not proposed to change, but may be affected by the increased size of the facility, must be addressed prior to Building Permit, include:

- Parking lot design and specification must be submitted to City Engineer for review and approval (Condition #18)
- Condition #19 requires a minimum of two ADA accessible parking stalls be provided. ADA.gov states that, “Accessible parking spaces should be the spaces closest to the accessible entrance and be located on level ground. If it is not readily achievable to locate accessible parking in the closest spaces due to sloped pavement or other existing conditions, then the closest level area should be selected. An accessible route must be provided between the access aisle and the accessible building entrance. This route must have no steps or steeply sloped surfaces and it must have a firm, stable, slip-resistant surface.” The Site Plan should be updated to identify the location of the ADA parking stalls and the route must be identified on the site plan, with materials noted.
- A full grading and erosion control plan must be submitted, reviewed and approved by the City Engineer (Condition #27).
- Access Permit from Washington County must be obtained.
- Proper permits for stormwater management must be obtained from Rice Creek Watershed District (RCWD) prior to a building permit being issued.

1 As stated within the 2020 CUP there are several items related to site work including grading, erosion  
2 control and possibly stormwater management that must be addressed. During the 2020 review process  
3 only small grading/site work changes were contemplated. If approved, the new larger facility and  
4 exterior gathering spaces will require significantly more site work which must be planned for  
5 collectively. The City Engineer has attached and included a review letter which indicates that the site  
6 work, including grading and erosion control plan must be submitted for review and approval prior to  
7 the issuance of a Building Permit.

8

9 The property is located within the Rice Creek Watershed District, and the Applicant is working with  
10 the RCWD to obtain proper permits prior to the commencement of any site work.

1  
 2 During the 2020 review process Washington County commented that their review would be  
 3 dependent upon the final development plan. The applicant has submitted their application for an  
 4 access permit, but the process has stopped since this amendment process was initiated. The updated  
 5 site plan was submitted to Washington County and they have provided the attached review letter. As  
 6 noted within the correspondence their concern is primarily associated with overflow parking which is  
 7 addressed within the CUP. The Applicant and City Staff will continue to work with Washington  
 8 County to determine what improvement are necessary to obtain an access permit. *Staff recommends*  
 9 *including an additional condition in the amended permit that any Washington County required*  
 10 *improvements to CR-7 to provide safe ingress/egress to the facility are at the sole cost and*  
 11 *responsibility of the Applicant.*

12  
 13 City Planner Swanson stated the Planning Commission recommended unanimous approval of the  
 14 Amended CUP to allow for the construction of the new facility subject to the conditions as drafted.

15  
 16 Mr. Keith Dehnert, Applicant, came forward and explained the proposed staging area in terms of the  
 17 gapes being picked and delivered. There is also equipment that calls for a lot of space and it has to be  
 18 a cleanable area. The apron is to access the building. Pressing will occur outside and bottling will  
 19 take place inside. The pressing into the tanks will all be done in the interior of the building.

20  
 21 Through discussion the Council determined conditions of approval would be added relating to  
 22 window coverings, lighting plan and screening additions in the staging area and wraparound deck.

23  
 24 **Council Member Giefer moved to adopt Resolution No. 2021-14, as amended. Council Member**  
 25 **Carr seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.**

26  
 27 **Consideration of Ordinance No. 2021-65, Amendment to Antenna Regulations** – City Planner  
 28 Swanson advised the implementation of the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Update (“Plan”) requires  
 29 communities to update their Zoning Ordinance (official controls) for consistency with the newly  
 30 adopted Plan. During the implementation discussion of the Plan process we discussed ordinance  
 31 updates, modifications and additions that would help the support the City’s vision and goals for the  
 32 future. Part of implementation is making sure our ordinances are clear and current to make them  
 33 easier to understand and enforce. The Antenna Regulation contained in Chapter 32 were identified  
 34 because of the outdated submission requirements. While updating the required floppy disk  
 35 submission, other clean-up of the ordinance was completed.

36  
 37 The City Council directed staff to begin working on the ordinance update process as meetings and  
 38 time permits. As such, staff has prepared the attached draft Ordinance regarding Antenna Regulations  
 39 for your review and consideration.

40  
 41 A duly noticed public hearing was held on October 18, 2021. No members of the public were  
 42 present. The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the proposed ordinance  
 43 revisions as drafted.

44  
 45 Staff is requesting approval of the proposed ordinance amendments.

46

1 **Council Member Schafer moved to approve Ordinance No. 2021-65, as presented. Council**  
2 **Member Rog seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.**

3  
4 **City Attorney, Dave Snyder (no action items)**

5  
6 **NEW BUSINESS**

7  
8 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2021-15, Summary Publication of Ordinance No. 2021-65 –**  
9 **Staff advised Resolution No. 2021-15 authorizes a summary publication of Ordinance No. 2021-65.**

10  
11 **Council Member Rog moved to adopt Resolution No. 2021-15, as presented. Council Member**  
12 **Schafer seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.**

13  
14 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2021-16, 2022 Polling Location –** Staff advised the City is  
15 **required to designate the polling location for the 2022 election prior to December 31, 2021.**

16  
17 **Council Member Giefer moved to adopt Resolution No. 2021-16, as presented. Council**  
18 **Member Rog seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.**

19  
20 **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

21  
22 There was no unfinished business.

23  
24 **DISCUSSION ITEMS (no action taken)**

25  
26 **Staff Updates (updates from Staff, no action taken)**

27  
28 **City Council Reports/Future Agenda Items**

29  
30 **COMMUNITY CALENDAR NOVEMBER 2 THROUGH NOVEMBER 30, 2021:**

31 **Mahtomedi Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, November 11<sup>th</sup>, Mahtomedi District**  
32 **Education Center, 7:00 p.m.**

33 **Stillwater Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, November 11<sup>th</sup>, Stillwater City Hall, 7:00**  
34 **p.m.**

35 **Mahtomedi School District Special Election, Tuesday, November 2, 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.**

36 **Planning Commission Meeting, Wednesday, November 10<sup>th</sup>, 6:30 p.m.**

37 **Washington County Commissioners Meeting, Tuesdays, Government Center, 9:00 a.m.**

38  
39 **ADJOURNMENT**

40  
41 **Council Member Schafer moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:09 p.m. Council Member Rog**  
42 **seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously by a roll call vote.**

43  
44 These minutes were considered and approved at the regular Council Meeting December 7, 2021.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

---

Kim Points, Administrator/Clerk

---

Jeff Huber, Mayor

APPROVED